Development of an adaptive two-phalanx grip model for robotic manipulators
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Abstract

The purpose of this work is to study the problem of uniform grip at the points of contact of a round or spherical object (fruits and vegetables) with the grip. The research methodology uses pressure sensors mounted on the “fingers” of the handle. At a certain force value in the contact zone, the “fingers” of the handle stop. The grip must create equal forces at the points of contact of all “fingers” for an even grip. To explore these issues, phalangeal graspers adapting to the fetal surface are analyzed here. The flat model shows the general patterns of the relationship between the forces of the “fingers” of the grip and the round object to be grasped. The flat model shows the general patterns of the relationship between the forces of the “fingers” of the grip and the round object to be grasped. We examined the 3D model of this gripper and calculated the parameters of the 3D model. Conclusions and practical consequences are the values of stresses and elastic displacements at the points of contact lines of the teeth of the 3D models of the gripper and the object being grabbed. The distribution of forces on a flat diagram and the values of von Mises stresses in the 3D model demonstrate a certain similarity in the uniformity of distribution of these parameters. Color scales with the values of the corresponding parameters are also presented; the calculation was carried out using the finite element modeling method in inventor.
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1. Introduction

This article by Ren, et al. [1] presents the construction of a new hand with low mobility with highly integrated modular finger blocks that can be freely reconfigured in terms of the position of the fingers and their number, taking into account the need for manipulation in various applications. In the article by Ryu, et al. [2] a prosthetic hand was created for people with amputated fingers and metacarpal bone. Designing the drive vertically between the finger modules and the mounting part into which the electrical parts integrated results in the useful arrangement. In this study, all the scaffolds used in the proposed prosthetic brush were made of nylon by multi-jet fusion multidimensional printing method. In Wahit, et al. [3] a robotic arm mechanism with improved data (a mechanism with four rods) was proposed, which overcomes the disadvantage of using a cable-driven mechanism, which leads to the least structural force and an incorrect range of movement. Robotic weapons have an endless range of uses. There are many types of robotic arms, some of which are used to manufacture robots, and some of them, prosthetic arms, are used to attach to the human body to replace a person's lost arm or an amputated limb. In patients with upper limb amputations, hand prostheses allow not only to cosmetically replace the lost limb, but also to restore certain functions of the hand. In Wu, et al. [4]; Liow, et al. [5]; Van and Van [6]; Dang, et al. [7]; Belter, et al. [8] and Zhang, et al. [9] they developed a robotic hand with five fingers, each of which has two degrees of freedom. In their paper Li, et al. [10] came up with a robotic hand with slightly activated fingers, driven by three levers. Liu, et al. [11] proposed to use an incomplete two-finger grip to grasp unknown objects. Reis, et al. [12] recommended using a three-finger robotic hand based on a combination of flexible tendons for adaptive gripping. In the paper Wattanasiri, et al. [13] a prosthetic hand was proposed that is capable of performing several gripping variations using only one actuator, made on a lever actuator. However, the hand lacks the ability to perceive. Condella, et al. [14] created a bionic pen with sensing capability similar to real-time sliding object detection. However, the finger mechanism appears larger due to the integration of the maximum number of sensitive components. In article Palli, et al. [15] an innovative mechanism hand was studied, which has absolutely all the degrees of freedom of the human hand. Thanks to the maximum number of degrees of freedom, it is more problematic to control. Thus, dexterous hands are either unimaginably complex in structure or lack the significant functions for dexterous hands. From the point of view of information perception, scientists are most interested in the effect of data capture on objects sliding along the hand. In Wu, et al. [16] the finger was examined using an integrated automatic sensor, which is prepared to simultaneously measure the grip force and the contact position when the hand picks up an object. Based on the principle of finding surface structure, Venter and Mazid [17] built a tactile sensor to detect objects sliding in a robot's hand. The paper by Luberto, et al. [18] recommended an adaptive grasping strategy based on object location estimation using provided point clouds. Park, et al. [19] came up with the idea of adjusting finger gripping motion based on soft sensors. A process capture mechanism using a camera was used to test the performance. In Othman, et al. [20] a critical micro potentiometer was used to measure and control finger flexion. Versatility appears to be a significant feature of prosthetic hands. The human hand has 21 degrees of freedom and a unique structure. It is very difficult to design a bionic prosthetic arm that has the same degrees of freedom as a human arm. In practice, a prosthetic hand requires a sufficient number of machined components, especially in order to be able to perform gestures that are especially frequently used in everyday life. The ability to perceive information appears to be another necessary feature of a prosthetic hand [8, 21, 22]. For fingers based on a single joint, there is no need to place angle sensors in the fingers since the angles of an arbitrary combination can be calculated from the proportions between the joints. However, tilt sensors are important for an adaptive finger with several degrees of freedom. Based on available research, most prosthetic arms do not have joint-angle sensors due to limited design control. This does not guarantee that the control structure will accept the position of grasping an object with an unrealistic hand.

The tendency to increase our physical, aesthetic, and multifunctional lifestyle has forced people to engage in innovative solutions that help patients exposed to incomplete or complete loss of body parts [23, 24]. The engineering shift has presented significant importance in the development of prostheses. These devices are designed to replace organs and body parts, allowing the patient to half or completely adjust the functional abilities of the body [25]. Prostheses may have aesthetic or functional features; in this case, aesthetic prostheses naturally supply near-term performance or do not have it at all and are designed to prompt the confused limb of the owner, ignoring its functionality. On the other hand, functional prostheses are oriented towards recreating the functional abilities of a confused limb [26]. Currently, due to scientific and technological progress in the field of miniaturization, prostheses have evolved from systems powered by the body [27] to mechatronic devices [28] capable of taking the patient's action and influencing him Singh [29]. In Pollayil, et al. [30] a self-adjusting gripping routine has been developed that benefits from synchronized finger and wrist processes, which can increase the possibility of effective gripping and reduce the slipping of the object during the closing of the hands. The paper by Hussain, et al. [31] explores several aspects integrated with the design, modeling, prototyping, and testing of soft-hard tendon grippers. The design and development of a two-finger handle were presented as a sample to demonstrate the application scenario of a mathematical model based on the concept of screws. The following is a mathematical formulation based on the concept of screws to model gripper dynamics. The proposed formulation appears to be an extension of the previously presented model to include the dynamics of a mechanical system. While keeping the grip’s kinematic structure the same and changing how it deforms when not in use, this type of grip can have a lot of different properties. For example, it can have different fingertip processes, which are the same thing as different ellipsoids of finger tip stiffness. Determined the primary characteristics of the gripper in terms of payload and maximum horizontal resistance, and tested the gripping capabilities for grasping objects of various shapes and weights. As the use of robots has expanded, flexible robotic grippers have been developed to handle objects of varying sizes, shapes, materials, and surface properties. However, normal grips lack the rigidity and stability of rigid grips due to their inherent design limitations. The article Ji, et al. [32] recommended a mixed plastic robotic gripping mechanism using both a solid link and a plastic vertebra-type mechanism to improve the
rigidity and stability of the grip. The recommended mechanism will be able to reasonably implement a power grip due to the methodical movement of a solid link and an elastic mechanism. In robotic urological surgery, the assistant usually uses laparoscopic forceps to move organizations outside of the surgical workplace, but the effectiveness of the support is not too great. In the study by Hashira, et al. [33] a three-dimensional humanoid paw with some independence steps was created, as well as a folding device that would allow it to be implemented through a small cross-section in order to increase the performance of the support offered along with the organs. In recent years, the effectiveness of robotic garbage collection has attracted increasing respect due to the development of more and more absolute cameras and machine vision systems, collective and industrial robots, as well as complex robotic grips. In the process of verification sorting, a huge variety of objects in terms of shape, weight, and surface calls for complicated conclusions for a reliable selection of objects. A difficult element of robotic garbage collection is contained in the correct finding of object collection points. The article by Bencak, et al. [34] presents a simulation model based on Matrix Laboratory MATLAB for a robotic evaluation of the capture point for a 2-F robotic capture. It consists of a mechanical model erected in ADAMS/View, a MATLAB/Simulink power controller, several additional functions, and a graphical user interface created in MATLAB/App Designer.

The purpose of this work is to solve the problem of uniform capture at the points of contact of a round or spherical object (fruits and vegetables) with the capture.

2. Methodology

The methodology of this study is the development of an adaptive model of capture by two phalanges using 3D modeling.

Figures 1a, and b present a method of creating cheap verbal work printed on a 3D printer. The robot's height is approximately 170 cm, corresponding to a healthy person's typical height. This robot consists of two parts: the front of the verbal robot printed on a 3D printer, the back of the articulated robot printed on a 3D printer, and the mobile base. The portable base is made of iron and has to be moved by three engines with a power of 1000 watts. For a verbal work of natural size with the highest mobility, it is infinitely economical.

As shown in Table 1, the robot has 27 motors, 25 servos with a variety of overloads, and 3 main motors specially prepared for 24V electrical control. A 16Ah lithium battery is present, placed in a movable base, and guarantees all the galvanic operation of the robot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Motors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right and left hand</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile base</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.

a) 3D printed front side of the verbal robot; b) 3D printed backside of the verbal robot.
Advantages of wheeled robots: they are faster, more stable, freely controllable, more efficient, and can provide a huge necessary load during implementation. A large degree of freedom allows fruitful movement diagonally, to the right, to the left, and forward, and backward.

The verbal robot consists of 1 head, 2-shoulder, 3-face detection devices, 4-elbow, 5-trunk, 6-hand, 7-forearms, 8-platform for controlling the entire system, 9-omnidirectional mobile platforms, 10-touch screens.

The mobile platform includes three all-usable wheels, each of which is placed at a distance of 120 degrees from each other so that the robot remains stationary, and three distinctive engine wheels so that the robot moves.

Figure 2 shows a robot arm printed on a 3D printer with a brush.

![Figure 2. Robot arm.](image)

It is called adaptive because each of the fingers has two phalanges connected by hinges and, accordingly, two degrees of freedom. And if the surface of the captured body does not differ much from a circle or a sphere, then due to the available degrees of freedom, the phalanges are adapted to this surface. The phalanges of the grasp are triangular in profile and symmetrical about the radial line (Figure 3a). On a circle of radius R2, there are hinges A, B, A', B', and points M and M'. The radius of the section circle of the object to be grasped is R1, and the relation R2 > R1 is fulfilled. Flexible traction element 1 (GTE-1) is fixed at points E and E', from point E, it passes through points F and K and then goes to drive-1; from point E' it passes through points F' and K' and then goes also to the drive-1. Flexible traction element 2 (GTE-2) is fixed at points F and F', from point F it passes through point K and then also goes to drive-2; from point F', it passes through point K' and then also goes to drive-2. At points D1, D2, and D3, where the teeth of the gripper are located, GTE-1 and GTE-2 should be as close as possible to the circle of radius R1 to create a greater force in the teeth. From the condition that GTE-1 and GTE-2 do not touch this circle of the section of a cylindrical part, we have (R1 + a) cos (α / 2) > R1, or a > R1 / cos (α / 2) - R1, where a = ED1 = ED'1 = FD2 = FD2 and α is the central angle (Figure 1a). Let α = 60°, then the grip of the grasped object with the “fingers” together with the fragment of the handle will be equal to the circumference. GTE-1 and GTE-2 should be as close as possible to a circle of radius R1 to create a greater force in the teeth. From the condition that GTE-1 and GTE-2 do not touch this circle of the section of a cylindrical part, we have (R1 + a) cos (α / 2) > R1, or a > R1 / cos (α / 2) - R1, where a = ED1 = ED'1 = FD2 = FD2 and α is the central angle (Figure 3a). Let α = 60°, then the grip of the grasped object with the “fingers” together with the fragment of the handle will be equal to the circumference. GTE-1 and GTE-2 should be as close as possible to a circle of radius R1 to create a greater force in the teeth. From the condition that GTE-1 and GTE-2 do not touch this circle of the section of a cylindrical part, we have (R1 + a) cos (α / 2) > R1, or a > R1 / cos (α / 2) - R1, where a = ED1 = ED'1 = FD2 = FD2 and α is the central angle (Figure 3a). Let α = 60°, then the grip of the grasped object with the “fingers” together with the fragment of the handle will be equal to the circumference D1D2D3D4.
Let’s find the internal force that arises in the "tooth" 1 (point). From the condition of equilibrium of the moments of forces $N_1D_1 \sum M_{A_i} = 0$ (Figure 3a). $N_1 = \frac{S_1 \cdot h_2^D}{h_1^A}$ where is the shoulder of the moment of effort; shoulder of the moment of effort $h_1^A = R_2 \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$. $h_2^D = R_2 - (R_1 + a) \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$ $\hat{S}_1$ - external force from GTE-1.

Figure 3.
Flat diagram and 3D-model of a biphalangeal grasp.

Let’s find the internal force generated in tooth 2 (point D2). Let’s consider the condition of equilibrium of moments of forces (Figure 3a). From GTE-1, attached at point E, two reactions of equal magnitude appear at point F. They are directed: one from F to E, the second from F to K. Their sum is equal in absolute value and directed from point F to point O. This force creates a moment relative to point B, pressing phalanx 2 to the object of grasping. From the equilibrium condition, we have:

$$\sum M_{B_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum N_2 h_3^B = 2S_1 \cdot \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

(1)

$$N_2 h_3^B - S_2 h_4^B + S_1 h_5^B - S_F h_3^B = 0$$

(2)

Where $\hat{S}_2$ - external effort from GTE-2.

$$h_3^B = h_4^B h_4^B h_5^B = R_2 \sin \left(\frac{3\alpha}{2}\right)$$

(3)

Let’s find - the shoulder of the moment from the effort $h_6^B \hat{S}_3$ relative to point B. Find the coordinates of points E, F, C:

$$X_E = (R_1 + a) \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right), \quad Y_E = (R_1 + a) \sin \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

(4)

$$X_F = Y_F = R_2 - (R_1 + a) \sin \left(\frac{3\alpha}{2}\right), \quad X_C = X_E, \quad Y_C = Y_E = \frac{Y_F X_E - X_F Y_E}{X_C - X_E Y_C + X_C Y_F}$$

(5)

$$\angle OCE = 180^0 - 90^0 - 2 \frac{\alpha}{2} = 30^0.$$...

Length BC = In this case, the force is equal to: $Y_C - R_2$, then $h_6^B = BC \sin (\angle OCE) \hat{N}_2$

$$N_2 = \frac{1}{h_3^B} (S_2 h_4^B - S_6 h_6^B - N_1 h_5^B + S_F h_3^B)$$

(6)

Force $N_1$ should be equal in magnitude to the force in tooth 1 for uniform compression of the object, that is, $N_2 = N_1$. Their directions are normal to the surface of the object at the points of their application. In a tooth lying on a handle pivotally connected to the nearest phalanx, from the condition of balance of efforts for the entire object of grasping and symmetry about point O, we have: $N_3 = N_1$. All these reactions are directed along the normals to the corresponding points.
of the setting object (to the circle of radius $R_1$). Then, from the vector condition of balance of efforts and symmetry of the gripper relative to the longitudinal axis, we have:

$$N_1' = N_1, N_2' = N_2, \text{ and } N_3' = N_3.$$ 

Thus, we have obtained relations for static forces in a flat model of a two-finger, two-phalanx grip. Let us take as a model example the parameters of the flat circuit: $R_1 = 60 \text{ mm}$, $R_2 = 100 \text{ mm}$, $\alpha = 60 ^\circ$, and $\delta = 15 \text{ mm}$. Table 1 and Figure 2 show the dependences of the calculated reactions $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_1', N_2', \text{ and } N_3'$ on the set values $S_1$ and $S_2$ on the drives transmitted by GTE-1 and GTE-2.

Table 2 presents the values of forces $S_1$, $S_2$, and reactions $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_1', N_2', N_3'$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_1$</th>
<th>$S_2$</th>
<th>$N_1 = N_1'$</th>
<th>$N_2 = N_2'$</th>
<th>$N_3 = N_3'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One hundred</td>
<td>Twenty</td>
<td>70.09</td>
<td>71.47</td>
<td>70.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>140.19</td>
<td>140.84</td>
<td>140.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>210.28</td>
<td>210.21</td>
<td>210.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>280.38</td>
<td>279.58</td>
<td>280.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>350.48</td>
<td>349.65</td>
<td>350.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Diagrams of forces $S_1, S_2$ and reactions $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_1', N_2', N_3'$.

From Table 1 and Figure 2, it can be seen that if the object to be grasped requires an approximate force of 70 N at six points of contact with the gripper, then the force in drive-1 should be $S_1 = 100 \text{ n}$, and in drive-2 it should be $S_2 = 20n$. These values for $S_1$ and $S_2$ were found by the method of fitting values. Table 1 also shows an approximately linear relationship between the values of the rows in this table. It follows that if another, more or less, weak or strong compression is needed, then it is not difficult to find it by multiplying the found string of values by a normalizing factor, which is equal to the ratio of the desired compression to the found one.

3. Results

The flat model shows the general patterns of interconnection of the efforts of the “fingers” of the grip with the round object of the grip.

Let’s consider a 3D model of this gripper. The calculated parameters of the 3D model are: metric parameters of a flat scheme, the thickness of the phalanges was taken to be the same for all phalanges and equal to 20 mm. In the computational model, the contact between the teeth and the cylinder in the form of a thin strip with dimensions of 20x2 mm was taken.
Figure 5. Von Mises stresses at the points of contact of the gripper.

Figure 6. Von Mises stresses at the contact points of the seized object.
Figures 5-8 show (on indicators) the values of stresses and elastic displacements at the points of the contact lines of the teeth of the 3D models of the gripper and the object to be seized. The picture of the efforts in a flat scheme and the von Mises stress values of 3D models show a certain similarity in the uniformity of the distribution of these parameters. On the left in Figures 3-6, there are color scales with the values of the corresponding parameters. The calculation was carried out by finite element modeling on Inventor.

4. Conclusions and Discussion

From the analysis of the preferred selection points, we can conclude that the model behaves precisely and, in certain variants, strongly and strictly recreates the real physical system. The main advantage of using mechanical modeling to find capture points is that we can strictly establish a random abundance of object capture points with various parameter options. In addition, it is possible to simulate the difficult action of robotic grips along with alternatives to 2-F robotic grips (different width and depth of fingers, stroke span, etc.), because the model may be modified; however, in this case, a few changes to the initial model are necessary. The main disadvantage of the model is that for this type of modeling, clear contact values and settings of other parameters are required, which are not easy to test without actuating action or torque sensors. In addition, more time is needed to process the simulation because it strictly models the physics of the real world and does not make assumptions based on RGB-D data or point clouds.
The majority of scientific publications devoted to the study of grips mainly concern the issues of their structure, design, and control. Here, the grips are considered from the point of view of the criteria of adaptability and optimal distribution of forces in the grip. Flat schemes and 3D models of two-phalangeal, two-finger grips are considered. Their adaptability to the shape of a round cylinder or sphere is shown. The conditions under which an approximate uniform compression of an object occurs at six and eight points of contact of two-phalangeal grips with two fingers are shown. The practical significance of this approach is undeniable, since agricultural products (tomatoes, apricots, etc.) can be very sensitive even to minor deformations, and uniform nominal compression allows them to be reduced. In further studies, models for three parallel fingers will be made. In conclusion, adaptive gripping represents a promising achievement in the field of robotic finger design, providing a newfound path to solving problems connected with manual dexterity. Due to the wide range of capture systems and the complexity of the mechanism, it provides a basis for future studies of its probable applications and capabilities in numerous academic and industrial settings.
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