



ISSN: 2617-6548

URL: [www.ijirss.com](http://www.ijirss.com)



## International law and conflict management in the Western Balkans: Impact on Bosnia, Albania, and Kosovo

Stela Karaj<sup>1\*</sup>, Kristaq Xharo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Jurisprudence, European University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania*

<sup>2</sup>*European University of Tirana for International Relation, Security and Strategy Issues", Department of Applied Social Sciences" European University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania.*

Corresponding author: Stela Karaj (Email: [stela.karaj@uet.edu.al](mailto:stela.karaj@uet.edu.al))

### Abstract

This paper examines the role of international law in conflict zones, focusing on the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) and its efforts to mitigate crises. By analyzing the Western Balkans conflicts—Bosnia (1995), Albania (1997), and Kosovo (1999)—the study highlights how international law serves as a stabilizing framework during periods of instability. It assesses key interventions, including the Dayton Agreement in Bosnia, Operation Alba in Albania, and the NATO-led intervention in Kosovo, showcasing both the strengths and limitations of UNSC mechanisms in addressing regional conflicts. This research evaluates the UNSC's effectiveness in these crises, considering geopolitical rivalries, evolving concepts of sovereignty, and the complexities of humanitarian intervention. Drawing comparisons with contemporary conflicts in Ukraine and Gaza, the study extracts lessons from the Balkans to inform the UNSC's approach to current and future crises. Through a historical and comparative analysis, it bridges theoretical perspectives with practical insights, offering recommendations for strengthening the UNSC's application of international law. By examining the dynamic role of international law and multilateral institutions, the paper contributes to a deeper understanding of peace and security frameworks in conflict-prone regions, with a particular focus on the Western Balkans' historical and geopolitical significance.

**Keywords:** Geopolitical rivalries, Humanitarian intervention, International law, Conflict resolution, United Nations Security Council (UNSC), Western Balkans.

**DOI:** 10.53894/ijirss.v8i2.5424

**Funding:** This study received no specific financial support.

**History: Received:** 28 January 2025 / **Revised:** 4 March 2025 / **Accepted:** 12 March 2025 / **Published:** 14 March 2025

**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' Contributions:** All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Transparency:** The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

**Publisher:** Innovative Research Publishing

## 1. Introduction

The increasing complexity of global security challenges necessitates a robust and adaptable international legal framework. International law, with its principles rooted in sovereignty, non-intervention, and the protection of human rights, plays an essential role in regulating state behavior during conflicts. It serves as a cornerstone for maintaining international peace and security, offering mechanisms to mediate disputes and mitigate the impact of crises on vulnerable populations [1, 2]. This paper seeks to explore the role of international law in conflict zones, focusing on the United Nations Security Council's (UNSC) interventions in the Western Balkans as illustrative case studies. The analysis is further contextualized by drawing analogies to contemporary conflicts, such as those in Ukraine and Gaza, to underscore the evolving relevance of international law.

The UNSC occupies a unique position in the global governance structure as the primary body responsible for maintaining international peace and security under the United Nations Charter. Its authority to impose sanctions, authorize military interventions, and establish peacekeeping operations highlights its pivotal role in conflict management [3, 4]. However, the UNSC's effectiveness is often contested due to geopolitical rivalries among its permanent members, resulting in varying degrees of success in conflict resolution. By examining the UNSC's actions in Bosnia (1995), Albania (1997), and Kosovo (1999), this study highlights how international law was operationalized to address crises in the Western Balkans, providing valuable insights into both the strengths and limitations of these interventions [5].

The Western Balkans provide a crucial context for analyzing the application of international law. In the aftermath of Yugoslavia's dissolution, the region was engulfed in violent conflicts marked by ethnic cleansing, political turmoil, and humanitarian crises [6]. The UNSC played a decisive role in addressing these crises, facilitating initiatives such as the Dayton Peace Agreement in Bosnia, the mandate for Operation Alba in Albania, and the NATO-led intervention in Kosovo. These cases illuminate the delicate balance between international legal principles and realpolitik, illustrating how legal norms were upheld or compromised in the pursuit of peace and stability.

In drawing parallels with contemporary conflicts, this research examines how the UNSC's experiences in the Western Balkans can inform its responses to crises in Ukraine and Gaza. Ukraine, marked by violations of territorial sovereignty and international humanitarian law, and Gaza, a focal point of protracted violence and humanitarian challenges, both highlight the enduring relevance of the UNSC's legal and political mechanisms [7, 8]. These analogies aim to emphasize the need for consistent application of international law and the challenges posed by evolving interpretations of state sovereignty and humanitarian intervention.

The objectives of this research are multifaceted. First, it seeks to evaluate the broader significance of international law in managing conflict zones. Second, it aims to critically assess the UNSC's role and effectiveness in the Western Balkans, highlighting successes and shortcomings. Third, it endeavors to draw lessons from these cases to propose recommendations for enhancing the UNSC's role in contemporary and future conflicts. The research questions guiding this study include: How has international law been applied in conflict zones, particularly in the Western Balkans? What lessons can be drawn from the UNSC's interventions in Bosnia, Albania, and Kosovo? How can these lessons be applied to current security challenges in Ukraine, Gaza, and other regions?

This study combines qualitative analysis and case study research, drawing on secondary sources such as legal documents, UNSC resolutions, academic publications, and reports from international organizations. It examines the UNSC's interventions in the Western Balkans, identifying patterns and trends to analyze contemporary crises. Incorporating theoretical perspectives from scholars like Orford [7], Weiss [8] and Koskeniemi [9], the paper offers insights into the UNSC's role in conflict zones. It bridges the gap between theory and practice, providing actionable recommendations for enhancing international law's impact on global peace and security.

## 2. Literature Review

*Theoretical Perspectives on International Law and the Security Council in Conflict Zones.* The interplay between international law and the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) in conflict zones is a multifaceted subject that has drawn significant scholarly attention. This paper explores key theoretical frameworks that underpin the analysis of international law's role in managing conflicts and the UNSC's effectiveness in enforcing these legal principles. By integrating classical and contemporary theories, it examines how these perspectives illuminate the complexities of sovereignty, humanitarian intervention, and global governance. Grounded in the context of historical and ongoing conflicts, such as those in the Western Balkans, Ukraine, and Gaza, this analysis provides a comprehensive understanding of the theoretical foundations driving scholarly discourse on the subject.

*Realism and International Law.* Realism, a dominant theory in international relations, argues that international law often reflects global power dynamics rather than impartiality. Morgenthau [10] emphasized that international law is subordinate to the interests of powerful states, explaining how UNSC interventions are shaped by the strategic priorities of the P5. This perspective helps analyze UNSC actions in the Western Balkans, where interventions in Bosnia (1995), Albania (1997), and Kosovo (1999) were influenced by the geopolitical interests of the US and Russia. The 2014–2022 Ukraine crisis further highlights the UNSC's paralysis due to conflicting great power interests between Kyrydon and Troyan [11]. Waltz [12] argued that the anarchic international system limits the effectiveness of institutions like the UNSC, with power distribution shaping institutional outcomes. This underscores the tension between international law's idealism and the realities of global power.

*Liberalism and Global Governance.* Liberal theories offer a contrasting perspective, emphasizing the potential of international law and institutions to promote cooperation and peace. Kant [13] argued for a cosmopolitan legal order, where shared norms and values underpin international relations. Modern liberal theorists, such as Keohane [14] and Nye [15] focus

on the role of institutions like the UNSC in mitigating anarchy and facilitating collective action. In the context of conflict zones, liberalism underscores the importance of international legal principles in safeguarding human rights and preventing atrocities. The doctrine of the Responsibility to Protect (R2P), for instance, aligns with liberal ideals by prioritizing humanitarian intervention over strict adherence to state sovereignty. The UNSC's endorsement of R2P in cases like Libya [United Nations Security Council \[16\]](#) and its earlier involvement in the Western Balkans reflect this liberal ethos. However, critics argue that inconsistencies in the application of R2P highlight the tension between liberal ideals and political realities, particularly when interventions serve the interests of powerful states.

*Constructivism: The Role of Norms and Identity.* Constructivism examines the role of norms, identities, and social constructs in shaping international relations. Unlike realism and liberalism, which prioritize material power and interests, constructivism emphasizes that the behavior of states and institutions is significantly influenced by shared values and norms. [Wendt \[17\]](#) famously stated that "anarchy is what states make of it," underscoring the socially constructed nature of international systems. Constructivism underscores the role of evolving norms in shaping the actions of international institutions like the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) in conflict zones. In the Western Balkans, the UNSC's responses in Bosnia and Kosovo were driven by a normative shift prioritizing human rights, the prevention of ethnic cleansing, and civilian protection [\[18\]](#). This reflects the growing emphasis on humanitarian intervention as a central tenet of international relations.

The United States plays a pivotal role in advocating for stability and prosperity in the Western Balkans, highlighting Serbia's importance in the region. It supports the EU-facilitated dialogue between Serbia and Kosovo, aiming for comprehensive normalization based on mutual recognition and urging both sides to make necessary compromises. The current status quo, seen as unsustainable, limits the potential progress of both nations [\[19\]](#). Similarly, contemporary debates on conflicts in Ukraine and Gaza reveal the contested nature of norms surrounding sovereignty and self-determination, underscoring the challenges of achieving international consensus on these critical issues [\[20\]](#).

[Labarre \[21\]](#) reinforces the constructivist perspective through his analysis of the Kosovo War, illustrating how international norms, identities, and shared values influenced the actions of NATO and the United Nations. He argues that the intervention was not solely driven by material interests but also by the rising influence of norms such as the responsibility to protect and the imperative to prevent ethnic cleansing. This demonstrates how socially constructed ideas profoundly shape state behavior and international relations.

*Critical Theories: Challenging the Status Quo.* Critical theories, including Marxism, postcolonialism, and feminism, challenge mainstream international relations theories by criticizing the UNSC and international law as tools that uphold global inequalities and power structures. Marxists, like [Cox \[22\]](#) argue that the UNSC serves capitalist interests, seen in interventions in resource-rich areas. Postcolonial theorists such as [Said \[23\]](#) and [Chakrabarty \[24\]](#) critique the UNSC's paternalism, particularly in Gaza. Feminist scholars, including [Tickner \[25\]](#) and [Enloe \[26\]](#) highlight the exclusion of gendered perspectives in peacebuilding. Institutionalism and pragmatism [Abbott and Snidal \[27\]](#) and [Slaughter \[28\]](#) advocate for reforms to address veto power and asymmetric challenges. These critical perspectives reveal the complexities of global governance and highlight the need for a nuanced, inclusive approach to enhancing the UNSC's effectiveness and promoting a more equitable international order.

*Conflict Zones and International Law.* The role of international law in conflict zones has been extensively documented as a critical mechanism for resolving disputes, mitigating violence, and maintaining global order. Foundational works emphasize its dual function: offering a legal framework to govern state behavior and providing tools for conflict resolution. [Brownlie \[1\]](#) and [Shaw \[2\]](#) discuss the evolution of international law from its origins in the Treaty of Westphalia to its contemporary applications, emphasizing principles such as sovereignty, territorial integrity, and the prohibition of the use of force. Similarly, [Chen \[29\]](#) and [Cassese \[30\]](#) extend this discussion by exploring the legitimacy of international law in enforcing peace, focusing on its applicability in modern conflicts.

A specific focus on conflict zones highlights the intersection of international humanitarian law (IHL) and human rights law. [Dinstein \[31\]](#) examines the application of the Geneva Conventions in protecting non-combatants, while [Henckaerts and Doswald-Beck \[32\]](#) provide an authoritative guide on customary international humanitarian law. These works underscore the challenges of ensuring compliance amidst the complexities of asymmetric warfare and non-state actors. The scope of international law extends beyond the regulation of interstate conduct to addressing intra-state conflicts and emerging security threats. Authors such as [Chen \[29\]](#) and [Shaw \[2\]](#) underline the legal mechanisms that facilitate peacebuilding, including treaties, conventions, and customary norms.

The discourse on humanitarian intervention further enriches the literature. [Orford \[7\]](#) argues for a rethinking of intervention as a tool of international law, aligning it with the responsibility to protect (R2P) framework. [Weiss \[8\]](#) and [Bellamy, et al. \[33\]](#) critique the selective enforcement of international law, particularly by powerful states, as undermining its universality and legitimacy. These authors emphasize the critical role of IHL in protecting non-combatants and ensuring accountability during armed conflicts. [Chesterman \[3\]](#) provides a contrasting perspective, highlighting the geopolitical motivations of often driving interventions, which may compromise their moral and legal legitimacy.

*The Security Council's Mandate.* The UNSC plays a crucial role in enforcing international law in conflict zones, with foundational works by [Nye \[34\]](#) outlining its authority under Chapter VII of the UN Charter, including sanctions, military interventions, and peacekeeping missions. [Luck \[35\]](#) and [Gallagher \[36\]](#) examine the impact of the veto powers of permanent members on decision-making. Contemporary analyses, such as [Shelley \[37\]](#) evaluate the Council's adaptability to emerging threats like terrorism and cyberattacks, while [Bellamy, et al. \[33\]](#) discuss UN peacekeeping's evolution. [\[38\]](#) provides a critical analysis of the challenges in reforming the UNSC, while [Luck \[35\]](#) and [Bellamy, et al. \[33\]](#) also explore peacekeeping and the R2P doctrine, highlighting both successes and the geopolitical obstacles hindering action.

*Regional Focus: The Western Balkans.* The Western Balkans highlight the challenges of applying international law in conflict zones. Following Yugoslavia's dissolution, the region faced violent conflicts that tested international law and the UNSC's response. [Malcolm \[6\]](#) and [Pavlović \[39\]](#) provide key insights into the political dynamics, while [Beha and Visoka \[40\]](#) and [Petraru \[41\]](#) discuss challenges like ethnic tensions and humanitarian crises. [Aolain \[42\]](#) as documented by [Chollet \[43\]](#) and [Holbrooke \[44\]](#) exemplifies UNSC's role in peacekeeping. Similarly, [Kritsiotis \[45\]](#) provides insights into international responses to internal instability [United Nations Security Council \[5\]](#). [Ayoob \[46\]](#) and [Jano \[47\]](#) analyze the operation's legal and operational aspects, noting its success as a preventive intervention. [Franck's \[48\]](#) intervention further underscores the complexities of international law and UNSC actions. [Caplan \[48\]](#) and [Ker-Lindsay \[49\]](#) explore the legal debates surrounding NATO's intervention, raising questions about the legitimacy and limits of humanitarian intervention.

The lessons from the Western Balkans have broader implications for contemporary global conflict resolution. [Lehti \[50\]](#) discusses the historical context of Balkan conferences aimed at fostering sustainable peace, emphasizing that the taming of extreme nationalism through shared heritage and communication is crucial for long-term stability. This historical perspective underscores the importance of a unified approach to peacebuilding, which is often lacking in contemporary efforts.

*Critiques and Gaps.* Despite its achievements, international law and UNSC interventions face significant criticism. Scholars such as [Koskenniemi \[9\]](#) and [Pellet \[51\]](#) argue that international law is often manipulated to serve powerful states' interests, undermining its impartiality. These critiques are particularly relevant in the Balkans, where geopolitical considerations frequently influence UNSC decisions. The selective enforcement of international law has also been a recurring theme. [Chesterman \[3\]](#) critiques the UNSC's inconsistent application of principles, citing disparities between its responses in the Balkans and other regions, such as Rwanda. [Falk \[52\]](#) and [Zolo \[53\]](#) further explore the ethical implications of these inconsistencies, questioning the legitimacy of interventions driven by strategic interests rather than humanitarian concerns. This raises broader questions about the equity and universality of the international legal framework.

*Contemporary Relevance: Ukraine and other conflict zones.* Drawing parallels between the Western Balkans and contemporary crises such as Ukraine and Gaza enriches the literature's scope. The conflict in Ukraine, marked by territorial annexation and violations of international humanitarian law, highlights the UNSC's struggles to address conflicts involving its permanent members. [Charap and Colton \[54\]](#) and [Allison \[55\]](#) explore the legal and geopolitical dimensions of Russia's actions in Ukraine, emphasizing the limitations of international law in restraining major powers. Similarly, the protracted conflict in Gaza exemplifies the challenges of applying international law in asymmetric conflicts. [Khalidi \[56\]](#) and [Shlaim \[57\]](#) analyze the legal and humanitarian implications of repeated cycles of violence, underscoring the UNSC's limited role in achieving sustainable resolutions.

The literature provides a comprehensive understanding of the role of international law and the UNSC in conflict zones, with a particular focus on the Western Balkans. By examining these cases and drawing parallels to contemporary crises, the review highlights both the achievements and critical gaps in international interventions. Addressing these challenges is essential for enhancing the UNSC's capacity to manage conflicts in an increasingly volatile global environment. Between 1990 and 2000, the UNSC adopted numerous resolutions concerning the region, with a significant focus on Bosnia and Kosovo. For instance, the UNSC issued over 200 resolutions and approximately 300 presidential statements related to the Balkans since 1991 [\[58\]](#). The United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR) was established to foster the conditions of peace and security necessary for negotiating a comprehensive resolution to the Yugoslav crisis. The mission's costs were significant, with annual expenditures reaching \$1.6 billion by 1995 and total expenditures from January 1992 to March 1996 amounting to approximately \$4.6 billion [\[59\]](#).

The Bosnian War (1992–1995) resulted in immense human suffering, including the devastating Srebrenica massacre. While estimates vary, the conflict caused over 100,000 deaths, making it one of the most tragic events of the 1990s [\[58\]](#). These events highlight the complexities and challenges inherent in international interventions in the Western Balkans during this period. The UNSC's extensive involvement, through numerous resolutions and large-scale peacekeeping missions, underscores the international community's commitment to addressing and mitigating the conflicts in the region.

### **3. Case Studies: Bosnia, Albania, and Kosovo – International Law, the Security Council, and Crisis Management**

The Bosnian War (1992–1995) represents one of the most tragic episodes in modern European history, marked by ethnic cleansing, mass atrocities, and the first genocide on the continent since World War II. The United Nations Security Council (UNSC) played a central role in attempting to address the conflict, deploying peacekeeping missions, and passing resolutions to protect civilians and restore peace [\[60\]](#). This case study examines the background of the Bosnian conflict, the UNSC's actions, including Resolution 836 [United Nations Security Council \[61\]](#) and the deployment of the United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR), and evaluates the successes and challenges faced in addressing genocide and securing lasting peace.

*Background of the Conflict.* The Bosnian War emerged after the breakup of Yugoslavia, as nationalist movements led to the secession of Slovenia, Croatia, and Bosnia and Herzegovina. Bosnia, with its diverse population of Bosniaks, Croats, and Serbs, declared independence in 1992, triggering opposition from Bosnian Serbs, backed by the Yugoslav Army. Led by Radovan Karadžić and General Ratko Mladić, the Bosnian Serbs aimed to create a Greater Serbia through ethnic cleansing, including mass killings and forced deportations. The 1995 Srebrenica massacre, where Bosniak men and boys were killed despite the town being a UN "safe area," became a symbol of the war's brutality [\[43\]](#). The war caused massive casualties and displacement, leading to a humanitarian crisis and international outcry, though the UN's response was delayed and faced significant challenges.

*Security Council Actions: Resolution 836 and UNPROFOR.* In response to escalating violence and atrocities, the UNSC passed several resolutions aimed at mitigating the conflict. Among these, Resolution 836 was particularly significant as it



authorized the expansion of the United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR) to protect designated "safe areas" in Bosnia, including Srebrenica, Sarajevo, and Tuzla [61]. This resolution was intended to prevent further attacks on civilian populations and support humanitarian aid delivery. Resolution 836 allowed UNPROFOR to use "all necessary measures," including force, to deter attacks on these areas. However, the force deployed to protect these zones was insufficient. For instance, Srebrenica was defended by only 400 lightly armed Dutch peacekeepers, far too few to prevent the massacre that unfolded in July 1995 [62].

The UNSC established UNPROFOR in 1992 to facilitate humanitarian aid and monitor ceasefires, later expanding its role to protect safe areas and support peace agreements. By 1995, the mission had grown to over 39,000 personnel, one of the largest in UN history [59]. However, UNPROFOR faced significant challenges due to its ambiguous mandate, which left peacekeepers in difficult positions, and the conflict between neutrality and civilian protection amid genocidal violence. A lack of resources and insufficient troop commitment further hindered effectiveness, as tragically seen during the Srebrenica massacre when peacekeepers failed to prevent Bosnian Serb forces from committing genocide [43].

*Other Security Council Actions.* Beyond Resolution 836 (19930 [United Nations Security Council \[61\]](#)) the UNSC took several steps to address the conflict. Resolution 713 [United Nations Security Council \[63\]](#) imposed an arms embargo on all parties in the former Yugoslavia. However, this measure inadvertently disadvantaged Bosniaks, who lacked the military resources of their Serbian adversaries [59]. The UNSC also established the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) through [the United Nations Security Council \[61\]](#) to prosecute war crimes, including genocide and crimes against humanity [61]. The ICTY became a landmark institution in international criminal justice, holding leaders such as Karadžić and Mladić accountable for their actions [43].

#### **4. Successes and Challenges in Combating Genocide and Promoting Peace**

The United Nations Security Council (UNSC) played a significant role in addressing the Bosnian conflict of the 1990s, showcasing both notable successes and critical shortcomings. Among its key achievements was the establishment of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) through Resolution 827, adopted on May 25, 1993, [United Nations Security Council \[61\]](#). The ICTY marked a significant step in advancing international justice by holding individuals accountable for war crimes and crimes against humanity, reflecting the global commitment to justice and accountability [59]. However, the UNSC also encountered major failures, most notably in its inability to prevent atrocities such as the Srebrenica massacre. The insufficient protection of designated safe areas exposed the limitations of international peacekeeping efforts, underscoring the challenges of responding effectively to complex conflicts. These shortcomings highlight the need for stronger mechanisms to safeguard vulnerable populations in times of crisis.

The UNSC also facilitated humanitarian relief efforts through the United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR). While its operations were constrained by resource limitations, UNPROFOR's delivery of aid to besieged areas such as Sarajevo alleviated suffering for many civilians [33]. Another critical achievement was the Dayton Peace Agreement, which ended large-scale violence and established a framework for governance and reconstruction. Although criticized for institutionalizing ethnic divisions, Dayton provided stability and an opportunity for rebuilding Bosnia [43].

However, the UNSC's failures remain stark. The genocide in Srebrenica, where over 8,000 Bosniaks were massacred, highlighted the limitations of UNPROFOR's mandate and the international community's lack of decisive action. Despite Resolution 836, peacekeepers were unable to protect civilians due to inadequate resources and poor coordination [64]. The arms embargo under Resolution 713 disproportionately weakened Bosniak forces while failing to curtail Serbian military advantages, prolonging the conflict [65]. These challenges underscore the need for stronger mandates, improved enforcement, and greater political will in future interventions.

The experience of the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) in Bosnia during the 1990s provides critical insights into the management of international conflicts, particularly regarding peacekeeping missions. One of the most significant lessons learned is the necessity for clear mandates and adequate resources. The limitations of the United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR) were starkly evident, as ambiguity in its mandate and insufficient resources severely undermined its effectiveness in protecting civilians during the conflict [66]. This aligns with the findings of [Duursma \[67\]](#) who emphasizes that without a well-defined mandate and the necessary support, peacekeeping missions are likely to fail in their objectives [67]. Timely and decisive action is equally crucial in preventing atrocities; delays, such as those leading to the Srebrenica massacre, often exacerbate crises [68]. The establishment of the ICTY illustrated the potential of international justice mechanisms to promote accountability and deter future crimes [51]. However, the Bosnian case also highlights the limitations of international law when faced with geopolitical constraints and a lack of consensus among major powers [43]. While achievements like the ICTY and the Dayton Agreement demonstrate the UNSC's potential, failures to protect civilians in critical moments underscore the need for reforms and stronger political will [69]. By applying these lessons, the international community can strive to enhance the effectiveness of the UNSC in promoting peace and justice in future conflicts.

#### **5. Albania (1997): A Case Study in Crisis Management**

The 1997 crisis in Albania, triggered by the collapse of widespread pyramid schemes, stands as a critical illustration of state fragility in the post-Cold War era. This unique case is characterized by its extensive societal impact, with 57% of the population affected and liabilities amounting to an unprecedented 51% of the nation's GDP [70]. The crisis led to nationwide unrest, violent public protests, and the collapse of state institutions, driving the country to the brink of civil war and creating a profound humanitarian emergency. Recognizing the potential for regional instability, the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) responded by adopting Resolution 1101, which authorized the Italian-led Operation Alba. This mission sought to reestablish order, stabilize key regions, and facilitate humanitarian assistance. This study examines the root causes and far-

reaching consequences of the Albanian crisis, evaluates the UNSC's intervention through Operation Alba, and distills critical insights and lessons from this targeted yet impactful international initiative [71].

According to Jarvis [72], Albania's pyramid schemes were unprecedented, with liabilities reaching nearly half of the country's GDP and involving about two-thirds of the population (p. 4). While some researchers classify these schemes as Ponzi schemes Jarvis [72] and Saputra [73] and others as pyramid schemes Jarvis [72] and Taylor [74] the evidence indicates they combine elements of both. Ponzi scheme traits include promises of high returns, lack of real investments, and centralized promoters operating in secrecy. Pyramid scheme features involve initial legality for some companies, genuine investments in areas like real estate, participant recruitment, and liabilities exceeding assets from the start. Given these mixed characteristics, Albania's schemes can be best described as "Ponpyra" schemes—a hybrid of Ponzi and pyramid models [71].

*Origins of the Crisis.* Albania's transition from communism to a market economy in the early 1990s was marked by weak institutions and widespread corruption. By 1996, pyramid schemes, endorsed by the government as symbols of economic progress, drew in two-thirds of the population [72]. When these schemes collapsed in 1997, they wiped out \$1.2 billion in savings, triggering mass protests that escalated into riots and violence. Government institutions disintegrated, police abandoned their posts, and weapons from military depots flooded the population, leading to near anarchy Jarvis [72] and Nexhipi and Nexhipi [75]. This crisis highlights the need for regulatory oversight and strong institutions in economic transitions.

*Humanitarian and Security Implications.* The crisis created severe humanitarian challenges. Hospitals were overwhelmed, basic services collapsed, and food shortages became widespread. The mass exodus of Albanians to Italy and Greece added a regional dimension to the crisis, raising concerns of spillover effects. Organized crime networks exploited instability, increasing arms trafficking, human smuggling, and drug trade across the Balkans [76]. The security vacuum in Albania had broader implications for the region, as neighboring states feared that the unrest could exacerbate existing ethnic and political tensions, particularly in Kosovo [77]. The deteriorating situation prompted calls for international intervention to restore order and prevent further destabilization.

*The Security Council's Response:* UNSC Resolution 1101, adopted on March 28, 1997, authorized a multinational protection force led by Italy to restore order and facilitate humanitarian aid in Albania [71]. The mission, Operation Alba, was launched in April 1997 with over 7,265 troops from 11 countries [78]. It aimed to stabilize security, assist humanitarian efforts, and support the June elections [73]. Operation Alba successfully restored order in key areas, reopened supply routes, and enabled humanitarian operations, culminating in Albania's stabilization and democratic elections by August 1997 [72].

*Impactful Intervention.* The 1997 Albanian crisis demonstrated the effectiveness of international intervention in restoring stability. UNSC Resolution 1101 and Italy-led Operation Alba were crucial in preventing further instability and securing regional peace. The operation facilitated humanitarian aid, alleviating the refugee flow and improving conditions by August 1997 [78]. It also supported Albania's June 1997 elections, monitored by the OSCE, which restored political stability under Fatos Nano's government. The mission's clear scope and timeline prevented prolonged involvement, avoiding dependency or resentment [72].

Despite its successes, the intervention in Albania faced challenges. While it addressed immediate instability, it failed to tackle deeper issues like corruption, weak institutions, and economic problems, leaving the country vulnerable to future crises. In rural areas, the limited international presence allowed lawlessness and organized crime to persist, fueled by looted weapons [79]. The reliance on Italy's leadership raised concerns about sustainability and the need for broader international involvement. The case highlights the importance of rapid intervention but also underscores the need for long-term strategies to address underlying issues and regional instability [79].

The 1997 crisis in Albania posed a major challenge to the UNSC and the international community. Resolution 1101 and Operation Alba showcased the UNSC's ability to manage crises effectively by achieving stabilization, providing humanitarian aid, and supporting electoral processes. However, the intervention's narrow focus and short duration underscored the difficulties of tackling underlying structural problems and ensuring lasting stability. The experience in Albania continues to offer valuable insights for modern conflict management, highlighting the necessity of prompt, focused actions coupled with long-term strategies for post-crisis recovery.

## **6. Kosovo (1999): Security Council Actions, Legal Controversies, and Long-Term Impacts**

The Kosovo conflict of 1999 stands as one of the most significant humanitarian crises in post-Cold War Europe. Rooted in long-standing tensions between the Albanian majority and the Serbian state, the conflict escalated into mass displacement, ethnic cleansing, and severe human rights abuses. The international community, led by the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) and NATO, intervened to address the crisis through Resolution 1244 and a NATO-led military campaign [80]. This paper examines the context of the conflict, the UNSC's actions, the legal controversies surrounding the intervention, and the long-term impacts on Kosovo's governance and regional stability.

*Context of Ethnic Conflict and Humanitarian Crisis.* Kosovo, a province of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, became a flashpoint of ethnic tensions between its Albanian majority and Serbia. By the 1990s, Albanians, seeking independence, faced repression after Serbia revoked Kosovo's autonomy in 1989 [81]. The Kosovo Liberation Army's rise led to armed conflict, met with Serbian crackdowns targeting both rebels and civilians [80]. By 1998, reports of ethnic cleansing and mass displacement sparked international condemnation [82]. The 1999 crisis displaced over 800,000 Kosovars, threatening regional stability and prompting international intervention [83].

*Security Council Actions and NATO's Involvement.* The UNSC attempted to de-escalate the Kosovo crisis with resolutions, including Resolution 1160 (1998) imposing an arms embargo and calling for dialogue, and Resolution 1199 demanding a ceasefire and the withdrawal of Serbian forces. However, these lacked enforcement due to opposition from

Russia and China [84]. Unable to act, NATO launched Operation Allied Force in March 1999, an air campaign targeting Serbian military infrastructure. While it forced Serbian withdrawal, the intervention raised legal and ethical concerns as NATO acted without UNSC authorization, sparking debates on legitimacy. Civilian casualties, over 500 from airstrikes, intensified these debates [82].

Following the NATO campaign, the UNSC adopted Resolution 1244 in June 1999, establishing the United Nations Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo (UNMIK) [85]. Resolution 1244 authorized an international presence to oversee Kosovo's transition to peace and stability while reaffirming Yugoslavia's sovereignty and granting Kosovo substantial autonomy under international supervision [86]. UNMIK's tasks included interim governance, refugee return facilitation, promotion of democracy, and reconstruction efforts. However, the resolution's ambiguity regarding Kosovo's final status laid the groundwork for future disputes [81]. NATO's decision to bypass the UNSC and initiate military action without explicit authorization remains one of the most contentious aspects of the Kosovo intervention. Critics argue that the operation violated the UN Charter, which reserves the use of force for self-defense or actions authorized by the UNSC [3]. Russia and China condemned the campaign, viewing it as a breach of sovereignty and a dangerous precedent for unilateral action.

Proponents of NATO's intervention justified it on humanitarian grounds, citing the urgent need to prevent further atrocities. Many scholars consider the operation a precursor to the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) doctrine, which emphasizes civilian protection over strict adherence to sovereignty [87]. However, the lack of formal legal grounding for NATO's campaign continues to spark debates about its legitimacy. Resolution 1244 also faced criticism for its ambiguous language. While it enabled Kosovo's de facto separation from Serbia, it did not explicitly endorse independence. This ambiguity complicated post-conflict governance and prolonged disputes over Kosovo's status [88].

*Long-Term Impacts.* Under UNMIK, Kosovo underwent significant reconstruction and political development. By 2001, Provisional Institutions of Self-Government (PISG) were established, including a parliament, president, and prime minister. These institutions laid the groundwork for Kosovo's eventual declaration of independence in 2008 [82]. However, tensions persisted between the Albanian majority and the Serbian state, with Kosovo's Serb population fearing marginalization. Kosovo's 2008 declaration of independence, supported by Western powers but opposed by Serbia, Russia, and several other states, remains a divisive issue. Although over 100 countries recognize Kosovo's independence, Serbia's refusal to do so complicates its international standing [89]. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) ruled in 2010 that Kosovo's declaration did not violate international law, but the ruling failed to resolve broader political disputes [90]. This situation underscores the challenges faced by Kosovo in achieving full international recognition and stability, as the lack of consensus among key international players continues to hinder its efforts to integrate into global institutions.

The conflict in the Western Balkans fueled organized crime and arms trafficking, further destabilizing the region. The EU has linked Serbia-Kosovo normalization to EU accession, mediating agreements like the 2013 Brussels Agreement, though tensions persist over Serb-majority areas [87]. Kosovo's intervention highlights the sovereignty-humanitarian intervention dilemma and the legal complexities of NATO's UNSC-bypassed actions. The ambiguity of Resolution 1244 underscores the need for clear mandates to prevent prolonged disputes [91]. Coordinated international and regional efforts remain essential for crisis response and long-term governance.

The Kosovo conflict of 1999 offers a critical case study of the complexities of international intervention. The UNSC's actions, particularly through Resolution 1244 and UNMIK, provided a framework for stabilization but left key issues unresolved. NATO's intervention, though effective in halting atrocities, raised enduring questions about the legitimacy of humanitarian interventions. As Kosovo continues its journey toward full international recognition, the lessons of 1999 remain vital for addressing future conflicts.

## **7. Comparative Analysis**

The United Nations Security Council (UNSC) plays a crucial role in addressing international crises, yet its interventions differ based on the unique political, humanitarian, and legal challenges posed by each conflict. The cases of Bosnia (1995), Albania (1997), and Kosovo (1999) illustrate the varying strategies and outcomes of UNSC involvement in regional instability. Analyzing these interventions reveals patterns, successes, and limitations, shedding light on the role of international law in conflict resolution.

In Bosnia, the UNSC adopted a comprehensive strategy to address ethnic violence, including the establishment of "safe areas" under Resolution 836, the deployment of UNPROFOR, and the authorization of NATO airstrikes through Resolution 1031 [61, 65]. The creation of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) signaled a strong commitment to justice [92]. However, delays in action, particularly the failure to prevent the Srebrenica massacre, generated considerable criticism. Ultimately, the conflict was ended through the Dayton Accords, which also established a framework for rebuilding the country [43]. The legacy of the Dayton Accords, however, remains controversial, with ongoing debates about their impact on Bosnia's long-term stability and its prospects for integration into European structures [Civil-Military Cooperation Centre of Excellence](#) [92].

In Albania, the UNSC acted swiftly during the pyramid scheme crisis. Resolution 1101 authorized Operation Alba, led by Italy, to restore order, provide humanitarian aid, and support democratic elections. This intervention succeeded due to its limited mandate and rapid execution, but it failed to address Albania's deeper issues, such as corruption and weak governance, which persisted after the crisis [72].

The Kosovo conflict exposed the UNSC's challenges in navigating legal and geopolitical complexities. While Resolution 1199 called for peaceful solutions, it failed to deter Serbian violence against Kosovar Albanians. NATO's intervention, conducted without UNSC authorization, raised debates about its legality. Post-conflict, Resolution 1244 established UNMIK



to govern Kosovo and oversee its transition to autonomy. However, the resolution's ambiguity about Kosovo's final status created long-term governance challenges [81].

Humanitarian crises, including displacement and ethnic cleansing, shaped UNSC interventions in Bosnia, Kosovo, and Albania. Relying on regional forces like NATO and Italy, the UNSC faced challenges due to unclear mandates, such as UNPROFOR's limits in Bosnia and Kosovo's unresolved status under Resolution 1244. While successes like the Dayton Accords and Albania's stabilization were achieved, delays enabled atrocities like Srebrenica, reflecting the geopolitical interests of permanent members [43]. These cases highlight the need for timely action, mandate clarity, and veto power reforms to strengthen the UNSC crisis response.

## 8. Conclusion and Recommendations

The UNSC's interventions in Bosnia, Albania, and Kosovo showcase both successes and shortcomings in conflict management. While achievements like the Dayton Accords and Albania's stabilization demonstrate its role, failures such as Srebrenica expose gaps in mandate clarity and decision-making. Strengthening early warning, regional cooperation, and post-conflict strategies is crucial for improving its effectiveness in future crises. Lessons from the Balkans highlight the need for a more proactive and accountable UNSC.

### 8.1. Recommendations

- *Strengthen Early Warning Systems.* Improve conflict prediction through collaboration with regional organizations such as the EU and OSCE.
- *Clarify Mandates and Allocate Resources.* Future resolutions must include clear objectives and provide adequate resources. Lessons from Bosnia emphasize the need for well-defined rules of engagement and sufficient funding to empower peacekeeping missions.
- *Reform Decision-Making.* To overcome veto-related deadlocks, the UNSC should consider reforms, such as suspending veto rights in cases of mass atrocities. Including more voices from non-permanent members could bring diverse perspectives and foster more balanced decision-making.
- *Enhance Regional Collaboration.* Regional actors should take a more active role in peacekeeping and reconstruction. The success of Operation Alba in Albania demonstrates the effectiveness of regional leadership. Similarly, the EU's involvement in Kosovo highlights the value of regional integration efforts.
- *Develop Long-Term Post-Conflict Strategies.* The UNSC must prioritize sustainable governance and economic recovery in post-conflict regions. In Kosovo, while UNMIK focused on building democratic institutions, greater attention to economic development and minority integration is essential for long-term stability.

Integrating these lessons will enable the UNSC to respond more effectively to global crises, reinforcing its role in maintaining international peace and security.

## References

- [1] I. Brownlie, *Principles of public international law*, 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [2] M. N. Shaw, *International law*, 8th ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [3] S. Chesterman, *Just war or just peace? Humanitarian intervention and international law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [4] A. J. Bellamy, *World peace and how we can achieve it*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2020.
- [5] United Nations Security Council, *Security council welcomes further progress in Albania towards stability and democratic rule; encourages greater efforts to strengthen public institutions*. UN Press. <https://press.un.org/en/1997/19970814.sc6410.html>, 1997.
- [6] N. Malcolm, *Bosnia: A short history*. New York: New York University Press, 1998.
- [7] A. Orford, *International authority and the responsibility to protect*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [8] T. G. Weiss, *The united nations and changing world politics*, 8th ed. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 2020.
- [9] M. Koskeniemi, "Epilogue," in *from apology to Utopia: The structure of international legal argument*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005, pp. 562–617.
- [10] H. J. Morgenthau, *Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace*. New York: Knopf, 1948.
- [11] A. Kyrydon and S. Troyan, "The Russian-Ukrainian war (2014-2022): Basic preconditions and causes," *Balkan Social Science Review*, vol. 20, pp. 157-179, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.46763/BSSR2220157k>
- [12] K. N. Waltz, *Theory of international politics*. Reading, MA: McGraw-Hill, 1979.
- [13] I. Kant, "Perpetual peace: A philosophical sketch," Retrieved: <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/50922/50922-h/50922-h.htm>, 1795.
- [14] R. O. Keohane, *After hegemony: Cooperation and discord in the world political economy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1984.
- [15] J. S. Nye, *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. New York: PublicAffairs, 2004.
- [16] R. United Nations Security Council, "Libya (S/RES/1973)," Retrieved: <https://www.globalr2p.org/resources/resolution-1973-libya-s-res-1973/>, 1973.
- [17] A. Wendt, "Anarchy is what states make of it: the social construction of power politics," *International Organization*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 391-425, 1992.
- [18] European Union Institute for Security Studies, "The Western balkans and the EU: The hour of Europe," Retrieved: [https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/cp126-The\\_Western\\_Balkans\\_and\\_the\\_EU\\_0.pdf](https://www.iss.europa.eu/sites/default/files/EUISSFiles/cp126-The_Western_Balkans_and_the_EU_0.pdf). [Accessed n.d.]
- [19] U.S. Mission to the OSCE, "22nd anniversary of NATO response to the humanitarian crisis in Kosovo," Retrieved: <https://osce.usmission.gov/22nd-anniversary-of-nato-response-to-the-humanitarian-crisis-in-kosovo/>, n.d.
- [20] United Nations, *Wars in Gaza Ukraine dominate security council's 2023 agenda, as use of veto proliferates, organ's ability to act hampered*. UN Press. <https://press.un.org/en/2024/sc15558.doc.htm>, 2024.



- [21] F. Labarre, "The Kosovo war from a constructivist perspective," *Connections: The Quarterly Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 33–61, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.11610/connections.06.3.03>
- [22] R. W. Cox, "Social forces, states and world orders: Beyond international relations theory," *Millennium*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 126–155, 1981. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298810100020501>
- [23] E. W. Said, *Orientalism*. New York: Pantheon Books, 1978.
- [24] D. Chakrabarty, *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial thought and historical difference*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2000.
- [25] J. A. Tickner, *Gender in international relations: Feminist perspectives on achieving global security*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1992.
- [26] C. Enloe, *The curious feminist: Searching for women in a new age of empire*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 2004.
- [27] K. W. Abbott and D. Snidal, "Why states act through formal international organizations," *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 3–32, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002798042001001>
- [28] A. M. Slaughter, *A new world order*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2004.
- [29] L. Chen, *International law in a policy-oriented perspective*, in *an introduction to contemporary international law: A policy-oriented perspective*, 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015.
- [30] A. Cassese, *International law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.
- [31] Y. Dinstein, *The conduct of hostilities under the law of international armed conflict*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [32] J.-M. Henckaerts and L. Doswald-Beck, *Customary international humanitarian law: Volume 1: Rules*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [33] A. J. Bellamy, P. D. Williams, and S. Griffin, *Understanding peacekeeping*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2010.
- [34] J. Nye, "Charter of the United Nations: Commentary and documents. By Leland M. Goodrich, Edvard Hambro and Anne Patricia Simons.(New York and London: Columbia University Press, 1969. pp. xxiv, 732. Index. \$22.00.)," *American Journal of International Law*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 434–435, 1971.
- [35] E. C. Luck, *UN security council: Practice and promise*. London: Routledge, 2006.
- [36] A. Gallagher, "The UN security council and the veto: A critical analysis of the powers of the permanent members," *International Politics Review*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 289–309, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ipr.2010>
- [37] L. Shelley, "The UN security council and emerging threats: Adapting to terrorism and cyberattacks," *Journal of International Law and Politics*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 101–120, 2014.
- [38] D. Bourantonis, *The history and politics of UN security council reform*. London: Routledge, 2005.
- [39] D. Pavlović, *The rise of authoritarianism in the Western Balkans: by Florian Bieber, , Palgrave, Macmillan, 2020, 148 pp., € 42.79 (hardcover), ISBN 978-3030221485*. London: Taylor & Francis, 2020.
- [40] A. Beha and G. Visoka, "Human security as 'ethnic security' in Kosovo," *Human Security Perspectives*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 83–101, 2010.
- [41] R. A. Petraru, "Ethnic conflicts: Case study—Kosovo," Retrieved: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2087985>. [Accessed 2012.
- [42] F. N. Aolain, "The fractured soul of the dayton peace agreement: A legal analysis," in *Reconstructing Multiethnic Societies: The Case of Bosni-Herzegovina*: Routledge, 2018, pp. 73–104.
- [43] D. Chollet, *The road to the dayton accords: A study of american statecraft*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.
- [44] R. Holbrooke, *To end a war*. New York: Modern Library, 1998.
- [45] D. Kritsiotis, "Security council resolution 1101 (1997) and the multinational protection force of operation Alba in Albania," *LJIL*, vol. 12, p. 511, 1999.
- [46] M. Ayoob, "Humanitarian intervention and international society," *Global Governance*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 225–230, 2001.
- [47] D. Jano, *The Europeanization of Western balkans: A failing strategy?* London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.
- [48] R. Caplan, *Europe and the recognition of new states in Yugoslavia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [49] J. Ker-Lindsay, *Kosovo: The path to contested statehood*. London: I.B. Tauris, 2009.
- [50] M. Lehti, "The quest for solidarity and tamed nationalism: Envisioning sustainable peace in the B alkans," *Peace & Change*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 101–132, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pech.12054>
- [51] A. Pellet, "The charter of the United Nations: A commentary of bruno simma's commentary," *Michigan Journal of International Law*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 135–151, 2003.
- [52] R. Falk, "Legality and legitimacy: The quest for principled flexibility and restraint," *Review of International Studies*, vol. 31, no. S1, pp. 33–50, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511622021.003>
- [53] D. Zolo, *Invoking humanity: War, law, and global order*. London: Continuum International Publishing Group, 2002.
- [54] S. Charap and T. J. Colton, *Everyone loses: The ukraine crisis and the ruinous contest for post-soviet Eurasia*, 1st ed. London: Routledge, 2017.
- [55] R. Allison, "Russia and the post-2014 international legal order: Revisionism and realpolitik," *International Affairs*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 519–543, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix061>
- [56] R. Khalidi, *The hundred years' war on palestine: A history of settler colonialism and resistance*. New York: Metropolitan Books, 2020.
- [57] A. Shlaim, "Lessons on the conflict in Gaza," *Al Jazeera*, Retrieved: <https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2014/8/9/lessons-of-the-conflict-in-gaza>, 2014.
- [58] R. Gowan and D. Korski, "The security council and peacekeeping in the balkans, 1992–2010," in *maintaining international peace and security: A summit meeting of the UN security council*, International Peace Institute. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep09574.6>, 2011, pp. 16–24.
- [59] United Nations Peacekeeping, "United Nations protection force (UNPROFOR)," Retrieved: [https://peacekeeping.un.org/mission/past/unprof\\_p.htm](https://peacekeeping.un.org/mission/past/unprof_p.htm), n.d.
- [60] L. Alvarez, "A socio-historical analysis of the dynamics of genocide in Bosnia 1992–1995: Forces contributing to the continuation of genocide," M.S. Thesis, Minnesota State University, Mankato, 2022. <https://cornerstone.lib.mnsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2193&context=etds>, 2022.
- [61] United Nations Security Council, "Resolution 836 (1993)," Retrieved: <http://unscr.com/en/resolutions/836>, 1993.

- [62] The Guardian, "The srebrenica massacre: Archive," Retrieved: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/from-the-archive-blog/2020/jul/01/the-srebrenica-massacre-archive-july-1995>, 2020.
- [63] United Nations Security Council, "Resolution 713 (1991) on the situation in the former Yugoslavia (S/RES/713)," Retrieved: <https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/atf/cf/%7B65BFCF9B-6D27-4E9C-8CD3-CF6E4FF96FF9%7D/Chap%20VII%20SRES%20713.pdf>, 1991.
- [64] L. J. Nettelfield and S. Wagner, *Srebrenica in the aftermath of genocide*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [65] United Nations Security Council, "Resolution 1031," Retrieved: <http://unsr.com/en/resolutions/1031>, 1995.
- [66] M. Lipson, "Peacekeeping: Organized hypocrisy?," *European Journal of International Relations*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 5-34, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107074283>
- [67] A. Duursma, "Obstruction and intimidation of peacekeepers: How armed actors undermine civilian protection efforts," *Journal of Peace Research*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 234-248, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343318800522>
- [68] A. Franken, "Too little, too late: The constraining effect of traditional peacekeeping norms on the un protection forces and its consequences," *Flux: International Relations Review*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.26443/firr.v9i2.16>
- [69] R. Şehitoğlu, "The Srebrenica massacre and the dayton treaty in the context of the sovereignty," *Iğdır Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, vol. 246, pp. 246-260, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.54600/igdirsosbilder.991759>
- [70] E. Thanasi and J. Riotto, "The spectacular rise and disastrous collapse of a financial scheme: The case of Albania," *Open Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 5, no. 01, p. 194, 2017.
- [71] D. Kritsiotis, "Security council resolution 1101 (1997) and the multinational protection force of operation Alba in Albania," *LJIL*, vol. 12, p. 511, 1997. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0922156599000266>
- [72] C. Jarvis, "The rise and fall of the pyramid schemes in Albania," *IMF Staff Papers*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1-29, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9781451852127.001>
- [73] B. Saputra, "Legal loopholes in pyramid and ponzi scheme regulations: A cautionary tale from Albania to Indonesia," *Balkan Social Science Review*, vol. 24, pp. 31-67, 2024.
- [74] S. Taylor, "Ponzi and pyramid schemes," Blog post," Retrieved: <http://www.simontaylorsblog.com/2012/09/02/ponzi-and-pyramid-schemes/>, 2012.
- [75] A. Nexhipi and E. Nexhipi, "The activity of pyramid schemes in Albania (1992-1997) and the effects of their decline," *European Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 22-34, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.26417/ejis.v3i4.p22-34>
- [76] A. Lulle, "Balkans and baltics: On migration as a factor of regional peripheralization," *Journal of Balkan and Near Eastern Studies*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 27-42, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19448953.2018.1532684>
- [77] S. Clément, "Conflict prevention in the Balkans: Case studies of Kosovo and the FYR of Macedonia, Chaillot Paper No. 30, Institute for security studies, Western European Union," Retrieved: <https://aei.pitt.edu/487/1/cha30e.html>, 1997.
- [78] R. Marchiö, "Operation Alba: A European approach to peace support operations in the Balkans. Italian army," Retrieved: <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA378201.pdf>, n.d.
- [79] Global Initiative Against Transnational Organized Crime, "Threat assessment of Albanian organized crime," Retrieved: [https://globalinitiative.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Threat\\_Assessment\\_of\\_Albanian\\_Organised.pdf](https://globalinitiative.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Threat_Assessment_of_Albanian_Organised.pdf), 2018.
- [80] P. Latawski and M. A. Smith, "NATO, Kosovo and 'humanitarian intervention'," in the Kosovo crisis and the evolution of a post-Cold War European security," Manchester University Press. <https://doi.org/10.7228/manchester/9780719059797.003.0001>, 2003, pp. 1-21.
- [81] M. Medeiros, "Beyond the label: Exploring the role of ethnicity in the Kosovo conflict," *Studies in Ethnicity and Nationalism*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 260-275, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sena.12355>
- [82] T. Judah, *Kosovo: War and revenge*. Yale University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1xp3spk>, 2000.
- [83] U. N. H. C. f. R. Evaluation and Policy Analysis Unit, "The Kosovo refugee crisis: An independent evaluation of UNHCR's emergency preparedness and response," Retrieved: <https://www.unhcr.org/uk/sites/uk/files/legacy-pdf/3ba0bbeb4.pdf>. [Accessed 2000].
- [84] United Nations Security Council, "Security council resolution 1199 (1998) The situation in Kosovo" S/RES/1199," Retrieved: <https://www.refworld.org/legal/resolution/unsr/1998/en/94407>, 1998.
- [85] United Nations Security Council, "Resolution 1244 (1999) on the situation relating to Kosovo," S/RES/1244," Retrieved: [https://undocs.org/S/RES/1244\(1999\)](https://undocs.org/S/RES/1244(1999)), 1999.
- [86] S. G. Sherifi, "The role of international organizations in the development of security sector in Kosovo: Advantages and constrains," *Honvédségi Szemle-Hungarian Defence Review*, vol. 147, no. 1-2, pp. 84-98, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.35926/hdr.2019.1-2.7>
- [87] A. J. Bellamy, *Kosovo and international society*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230597600>, 2002.
- [88] R. Muharremi, "Conflicting rules of recognition: UN security council resolution 1244 or the constitution of the republic of Kosovo," *German Law Journal*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 719-733, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s207183220001909x>
- [89] I. Borinca, L. R. Tropp, and N. Ofosu, "Meta-humanization enhances positive reactions to prosocial cross-group interaction," *British Journal of Social Psychology*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 1051-1074, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12435>
- [90] G. O. T. De Menezes, "North Kosovo and the Serbia-Kosovo normalization dialogue," *Political Perspectives: Journal for Political Research*, vol. 10, no. 2-3, pp. 111-137, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.20901/pp.10.2-3.06>
- [91] A. J. Bellamy, "Kosovo and the advent of sovereignty as responsibility," *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 163-184, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17502970902829952>
- [92] Civil-Military Cooperation Centre of Excellence, "The international criminal tribunal for the former Yugoslavia and its achievements," Retrieved: <https://www.cimic-coe.org/news/the-international-criminal-tribunal-for-the-former-yugoslavia-and-its-achievements/>, n.d.