

ISSN: 2617-6548

URL: www.ijirss.com



# Achieving effectiveness by improving methods of using local phytopreparations in the treatment of inflammatory lesions of periodontal tissues

©Sobirov Alijon Axmadovich<sup>1\*</sup>, ©Gafforov Sunatullo Amrulloyevich<sup>1</sup>, ©Odiljonov Javokhir Dilmurodjon o`g`li<sup>2</sup>, © Djambilov Ravshanbek Sotvoldiyevich<sup>2</sup>, ©Gafforova Sabina Sunnatulloyevna<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Andijan State Medical Institute, Y. Otabekov Street, Andijan, Uzbekistan.

<sup>2</sup>Center for the Development of Professional Skills of Medical Workers under the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Mirzo Ulugbek District, Parkent Street 51, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of therapeutic dentistry, Tashkent State Dental Institute, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

Corresponding author: Sobirov Alijon Axmadovich (Email: alijonsobirov204@gmail.com)

#### Abstract

In recent years, the incidence of periodontal tissue pathologies in dental practice has markedly increased. However, conventional treatment approaches have often failed to yield satisfactory outcomes. Optimizing the oral microflora through the use of local phytotherapeutic agents represents a crucial solution for clinical practitioners in addressing periodontal diseases. This study aims to scientifically validate advanced methods for the application of local phytopreparations in the treatment of chronic hypertrophic gingivitis. A total of 326 patients diagnosed with "Moderate Chronic Hypertrophic Gingivitis" (K05.5) were enrolled between 2023 and 2025. Participants were divided into two age groups: 20-29 years (n=146) and 30-39 years (n=180), comprising 165 males and 161 females in total. The phytopreparations "Inflamdent," "Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower," and "Infusion of Peganum Harmala" were administered either independently or in combination with "Chlorhexidine Bigluconate." Clinical-functional and clinical-laboratory assessments of oral tissues were performed at various treatment intervals. At baseline, microbial counts were similar across groups (1580.5±248.41 CFU/mL). Neutrophilic infiltration was 11.2±1.75 cells/field in Group 1, 10.3±1.95 in Group 2, and 9.2±1.34 in Group 3, with no statistically significant differences (p>0.05). Periodontal pocket depths were recorded as 4.8±0.06 mm, 4.2±0.09 mm, and 4.4±0.04 mm, respectively (p>0.05), and 100% of cases exhibited gingival bleeding. Following treatment, microbial (Candida spp.) prevalence decreased to 5.3%, 6.7%, and 6.3% across groups, respectively. Allergic manifestations were reduced to 9.8%, 9.6%, and 14.5%, while mucosal atrophy rates improved to 18.7%, 17.3%, and 16.3%. Comparative analysis conducted on Days 10 and 20 demonstrated statistically significant improvements through the use of the phytopreparations, both alone and combined with Chlorhexidine Bigluconate, as evidenced by univariate and multivariate statistical models. The application of phytopreparations, both individually and in combination with Chlorhexidine Bigluconate, demonstrated significant antiseptic, reparative, and anti-inflammatory activities, along with a reduction in complication rates and practical feasibility depending on clinical conditions. In terms of integral clinical effectiveness, the following ranking was established.

- 1."Inflamdent + Chlorhexidine Bigluconate,"
- 2."Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower + Chlorhexidine Bigluconate,"
- 3."Infusion of Peganum Harmala + Chlorhexidine Bigluconate."

Keywords: Chronic gingivitis, Dentistry, Gingivitis treatment, Periodontal tissue inflammation, Phytotherapy, Salivary biochemistry.

**DOI:** 10.53894/ijirss.v8i3.7587

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

History: Received: 4 April 2025 / Revised: 7 May 2025 / Accepted: 9 May 2025 / Published: 3 June 2025

**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' Contributions:** All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Transparency:** The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

**Publisher:** Innovative Research Publishing

#### 1. Introduction

The increasing frequency, severity, and clinical manifestations of periodontal tissue pathologies, including chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, present a pressing issue that awaits resolution by dental professionals [1-3]. In many cases, traditional treatment methods for these pathologies have proven to be insufficient, which remains a common challenge for specialists today. As a result, the demand for alternative treatment approaches, including the use of phytopreparations, is growing. Phytopreparations have shown positive effects in the treatment of periodontal tissue pathologies, improving oral microflora, restoring gut flora, eliminating dysbiosis, normalizing the functioning of internal organs, and enhancing the overall immune system [4-6].

Other studies have highlighted the negative impact of poor oral hygiene and changes in the composition of oral fluids during pregnancy on the state of periodontal tissue [7-10]. Additionally, cyclosporine's effect on the proliferation and differentiation of T cells, along with changes in lymphocyte function and the hypertrophy of gingival tissues in response to calcium (Ca2+) channel blockers, which cause excessive ACTH secretion due to a reduction in the "zona glomerulosa," has been documented. Literature reviews and the potential for preparing phytopreparations from medicinal plants available in the country have revealed a growing need for advanced methodologies in the treatment of periodontal tissue pathologies in dental practice [11-13].

### 2. Objective of the Study

The objective of this study is to scientifically substantiate improved methods for the application of local phytopreparations in the treatment of chronic hypertrophic gingivitis.

#### 3. Research Object and Methods

The study involved 326 patients diagnosed with "Moderate Chronic Hypertrophic Gingivitis" (ICD-10 Code: K05.5) who visited the clinics of the Andijan State Medical Institute (ADMI) and the "Center for the Development of Professional Skills of Medical Workers" (CDPSMW) of the Republic of Uzbekistan from 2023 to 2025. Among these, 146 individuals were aged 20–29 years, and 180 individuals were aged 30–39 years; 165 males and 161 females in total. The study utilized standard dental methods, including:

- Caries distribution, CPITN (Caries- K; Fillings F; Extractions E);
- Oral hygiene index (GI) [8];
- Periodontal tissue condition [14] and periodontal index (PI);
- Gingival bleeding (IGB) [15];
- The need for periodontal treatment (CPITN);
- Function of the mucosal microcapillaries in the oral cavity (Stomatoscope and Autofluorescent Luminescent Photodiagnostoscope Model-611);
- Immunological parameters of the oral cavity: IgA, IgM, IgG, as well as IL-4, IL-8 through enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, neutrophil activity, and sIgA (Human) using [15] method.

For the treatment of chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, local phytopreparations were used: "Inflamdent" gel (UzR Ministry of Justice No. MG 48749, dated 08.09.2022); "Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower," and "Infusion of Peganum Harmala," either individually or in combination with "Chlorhexidine Bigluconate" 0.05%. The treatments were administered before, 10 days after, and 20 days after the treatment. Parameters studied included (Table 1):

- Microbial counts in the oral cavity;
- Neutrophil infiltration;
- Periodontal pocket depth;
- Papillary-marginal-alveolar (PMA) index;
- Periodontal index (PI);
- Gingival bleeding index:
- Local allergies and other functional conditions.

**Table 1.** Age, Gender, and Treatment Groups of Study Participants  $(M \pm n, \%)$ .

Amount	n	M (%)		
Groups	Groups 1-stage			
MG-1 (Inflamdent)	35	33.33%		
MG-2 (Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower)	38	36.19%		
MG-3 (Infusion of Peganum Harmala)	32	30.47%		
Overall, by the main group	105	100% / 32.20%		
CG (Chlorhexidine Bigluconate).	47	30.92%		
Number of study groups and % in the 1-stage	152	46.62% / 100%		
The total number of study participants	326	100%		
	2	-stage		
EG-1 (Chlorhexidine+Inflamdent")	55	38.19%		
EG-2 (Chlorhexidine+Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower)	45	31.25%		
EG-3 (Chlorhexidine+Infusion of Peganum Harmala)	44	30.55%		
Overall, by EG	144	100% / 44.17%		
CG (Chlorhexidine Bigluconate).	30	17.24%		
Number of study groups and % in the 2-stage	174	53.37% / 100%		
The total number of study participants	326	100%		

Note: MG-main group; EG-experimental group; Stage 1 – Separate treatment groups with phytopreparations; Stage 2 – Combined treatment with phytopreparations and synthetic drugs.

Effectiveness of the obtained results – sample size (representativeness); determination of the optimal sample size using mathematical expression methods [16] with three-group parallel comparison in variational statistics [13] ANOVA – analysis of variance (English) evaluated using the plan implemented in the Microsoft Windows® XP Professional Service Pack 3 version 5.1 operating system [14, 15, 17].

### 4. Results and Analysis

In the study population, the prevalence of caries (C) was found to be 94%. The Caries and Periodontal Index (CPE) was 13.3, with the following breakdown: C = 6.45, P = 4.45, E = 2.4. Non-caries lesions accounted for 44.17%, including pathological wear (9.81%), enamel erosion and necrosis (10.73%), mechanical damage (15.03%), and tartar and stains (8.58%).

As for periodontal tissue pathology, 100% of the patients showed symptoms, with 23.9% exhibiting oral mucosal diseases, including leukokeratosis (4.9%), cheilitis (4.29%), leukoplakia (10.12%), and glossitis (4.6%). Only 4% of patients did not require dental prosthetics, while 4.6% had existing dental prostheses, 34.04% needed prosthetic treatment, and 21.16% had malocclusion and deformities. Of the 326 patients in the study, 155 (47.54%) used toothbrushes at least twice a day despite experiencing gum bleeding and pain. However, 268 (82.20%) had unsatisfactory oral hygiene. Only 58 (17.8%) patients, including 33 (10.1%) from the 20-30 age group, had satisfactory oral hygiene. Survey results showed that 199 (61.04%) patients suffered from oral discomfort, while 85 (26.07%) were negligent or careless about oral hygiene. In contrast, 42 (12.9%) patients paid attention to their oral health but still had chronic hypertrophic gingivitis. Additionally, 157 (48.15%) patients experienced a decrease in taste sensation, and 68 (20.85%) had hypersalivation. It was observed that worsening oral hygiene with age and the shift in the pH of saliva towards acidity were particularly correlated with female patients [16, 18-20].

In the study, patients were divided into groups based on their need for dental prosthetics, existing prosthetics, or need for prosthetics and malocclusion. The analysis of the results from the table shows the following:

- Need for dental prosthetics:
- Group MG-1 and EG-1: 7.2%
- Group MG-2 and EG-2: 9.5%
- Group MG-3 and EG-3: 26.3%
- Group CG-1 and CG-2: 60.6%
- Existing dental prosthetics:
- Group MG-1 and EG-1: 8.2%
- Group MG-2 and EG-2: 12.0%
- Group MG-3 and EG-3: 15.1%
- Group CG-1 and CG-2: 41.5%
- Need for prosthetics:
- Group MG-1 and EG-1: 61.6%
- Group MG-2 and EG-2: 61.2%
- Group MG-3 and EG-3: 50.5%
- Group CG-1 and CG-2: 50.5%
- Malocclusion presence:

- Group MG-1 and EG-1: 38.3%
- Group MG-2 and EG-2: 35.5%
- Group MG-3 and EG-3: 39.4%
- Group CG-1 and CG-2: 9.16%

Initially, caries (C) and its complications were treated, and food residue and stains on the teeth were cleaned. After this, the dental hygiene and oral mucosa status were assessed, with the patients being divided into groups based on treatment with local phytopreparations. These treatments included "Inflamdent," "Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower," "Infusion of Peganum Harmala," and "Chlorhexidine Bigluconate" solutions, either alone or in combination. The treatment dynamics were periodically evaluated.

## 4.1. Chronic Hypertrophic Gingivitis Progression

Regarding the clinical-functional state of the oral cavity during chronic hypertrophic gingivitis:

- Microbial count remained almost the same across all groups: 1580.5 ± 248.41 CFU/ml.
- Neutrophil infiltration:
- Group 1: 11.2  $\pm$  1.75 cells per field of view (p/z)
- Group 2:  $10.3 \pm 1.95$  cells per field of view (p/z)
- Group 3:  $9.2 \pm 1.34$  cells per field of view (p/z)
- Comparison between groups: p=0.111112 (Group 1), p=0.111218 (Group 2).
- Periodontal pocket depth:
- Group 1:  $-4.8 \pm 0.06$  mm
- Group 2:  $-4.2 \pm 0.09$  mm
- Group 3:  $-4.4 \pm 0.04$  mm
- Comparison between groups: p=0.111422 (no significant difference).

Additionally, 100% of the patients had identified bleeding gums, and 5.3%, 6.7%, and 6.3% of patients in different groups were diagnosed with candidiasis.

Local allergic reactions were observed in 9.8%, 9.6%, and 14.5% of the patients in their respective groups, while the atrophy of the oral mucosa was seen in 18.7%, 17.3%, and 16.3%, showing positive results.

The treatment results at 10 and 20 days of using "Inflamdent," "Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower," "Infusion of Peganum harmala," and "Chlorhexidine bigluconate," both individually and in combination (Table 2). Microbial Count:

#### Stage 1:

- Group 1: 335.7 ± 33.12 CFU/ml
- Group 2:  $562.85 \pm 41.24$  CFU/ml
- Group 3: 581.4 ± 39.82 CFU/ml

#### Stage 2:

- Group 1: 114.8 ± 21.44 CFU/ml
- Group 2:  $146.6 \pm 14.52$  CFU/ml
- Group 3:  $187.6 \pm 12.82$  CFU/ml

The microbial counts were significantly reduced in all groups by the end of the treatment, showing a positive response to the treatments. However, the Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower and Chlorhexidine treatments showed no statistically significant difference when compared using Student's t-test and ANOVA (p = 0.111112 for the Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower and p = 0.1111 for Chlorhexidine).

Neutrophil Infiltration: After 10 and 20 days of treatment, a decrease in neutrophil infiltration was observed in all groups. The most significant reduction was noted in Groups 1 and 2, showing an improvement in the inflammatory response, particularly in the groups treated with Inflamdent and the Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower.

Periodontal Pocket Depth: The periodontal pocket depth showed a dynamic decrease in all treatment groups. The most significant reduction was observed in the group treated with Inflamdent and the Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower, indicating the effectiveness of these treatments in reducing periodontal tissue damage.

These results suggest that both "Inflamdent" and "Essential Oil Solution of Jasmine Flower" are effective in treating chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, with improvements in microbial count, neutrophil infiltration, and periodontal pocket depth. The treatments not only show clinical efficacy but also help improve oral hygiene and the inflammatory status of the patients.

**Table 2.**The description of the dynamics of indicators in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in hypertrophic ging in the second stage when combining phytopreparations in the second stage

The description of the dynamics of indicators in the second stage when combining phytoprepar	rations in hypertro	phic gingivitis.								
Material		n	$M \pm s$							
microbial count										
Groups Total n=174.	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent (n=55)		Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil (n=45)		Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (n=44)		CG-2 (n=30)			
	10 days later	20 days later	10 days later	20 days later	10 days later	20 days later	10 days later	20 days later		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	298.83	99.60	44.71	20.32	271.66	90.97	4.47	2.03		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	491.92	96.45	46.85	23.30	447.20	154.22	4.68	2.34		
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	316.75	102.17	46.06	21.93	277.09	94.33	4.60	2.46		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	521.43	103.66	49.19	22.35	480.55	165.71	4.91	2.23		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent test. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$	nt samples. in	cluding Stude	ent's t-test. or	ne-way ANC	VA (analysis	s of variance). an	nd the Scheft	fé post hoc		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.10387	0.01703	0.10386	0.01649	0.10386	0.01701	0.10385	0.04037		
Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.10387	0.01704	0.10386	0.01650	0.10387	0.01702	0.10386	0.04037		
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.10387	0.01704	0.10386	0.01650	0.10387	0.01702	0.10386	0.04037		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.10387	0.01704	0.10386	0.01651	0.10387	0.01703	0.10387	0.04037		
neutrophilic infiltration										
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	7.12150	3.37383	1.70093	0.59813	5.29907	2.18692	0.17009	0.05981		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	7.63551	4.42991	1.39252	0.49533	6.96262	2.57009	0.13925	0.04953		
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	7.32710	3.63551	1.57009	0.53271	5.77570	2.28037	0.15701	0.05327		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	7.80374	5.00935	1.24299	0.45794	7.08411	2.68224	0.12430	0.04579		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05										
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.02867	0.00143	0.03226	0.00161	0.02975	0.00149	0.03300	0.00170		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.03858	0.00193	0.03296	0.00152	0.03905	0.00276	0.03900	0.00197		
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.02900	0.00145	0.03181	0.00159	0.03001	0.00150	0.03350	0.00163		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.02650	0.00132	0.03079	0.00154	0.02723	0.00136	0.02831	0.00141		
periodontal pocket depth	•									
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	2.33645	1.30841	0.06542	0.03271	1.77570	0.65421	0.00654	0.00093		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	1.96262	0.84112	0.02804	0.04019	1.40187	0.42056	0.00280	0.00093		
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	2.24299	1.12150	0.04673	0.04860	1.68224	0.56075	0.00467	0.00093		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	2.05607	0.93458	0.03738	0.05701	1.49533	0.46729	0.00374	0.00093		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05										
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.10386	0.01694	0.10385	0.01640	0.10386	0.01692	0.10384	0.03943		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.10386	0.01694	0.10385	0.01641	0.10387	0.01693	0.10385	0.03944		
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.10386	0.01694	0.10385	0.01640	0.10387	0.01693	0.10386	0.03944		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.10386	0.01695	0.10386	0.01641	0.10387	0.01693	0.10386	0.03944		

Chlorhexidine - Inflandent" (EG-1)   24,20561   11,12150   1,92622   0,10280   18,41121   4,29907   0,19426   0,01125   Chlorhexidine - Inflandent" (EG-2)   2,22429   10,841   12, 2,33645   3,83178   0,23364   0,013   0,0135   3,83178   0,23364   0,013   0,01121   16,91589   3,17787   0,21495   0,01121   16,91589   3,17787   0,21495   0,01121   16,91589   0,17787   0,015   0,015   0,00000   0,0000   0,0	"Papillary-Marginal-Alveolar (PMA) Index										
Chlorhexidine (GG-2)	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	24.20561	11.12150	1.96262	0.10280	18.41121	4.29907	0.19626	0.01028		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)   13.55140   9.90654   1.77570   0.14019   16.54206   2.89720   0.17757   0.0.15	Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	22.24299	10.84112	2.33645	0.13084	17.66355	3.83178	0.23364	0.0.13		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent sumples. including Student's 1-test. one-way ANOVA (unalysis of variance), and the Scheffe post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	18.41121	10.37383	2.14953	0.11215	16.91589	3.17757	0.21495	0.01121		
test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05 Chlorhexidine - Inflammednt' (EG-1)	Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	13.55140	9.90654	1.77570	0.14019	16.54206	2.89720	0.17757	0.0.15		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independen	t samples. in	cluding Stude	ent's t-test. o	ne-way ANO	VA (analysis	of variance).	and the Schef	fé post hoc		
Chlorhexidine   Jasmine oil(EG-2)											
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.03574	0.00179	0.03451	0.00173	0.03483	0.00183	0.03332	0.00118		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.04597	0.00230	0.03769	0.00188	0.03890	0.00194	0.03659	0.00104		
Periodontal Index (PI)	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.03959	0.00198	0.03566	0.00178	0.03687	0.00184	0.03422	0.01143		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.05350	0.00268	0.04073	0.00203	0.04922	0.00246	0.03871	0.01085		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)  Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).  Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).  Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent (EG-3)  22.24299  12.52336  0.00010  0.00031  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  O.00576  0.00258  0.00191  0.00389  0.00190  0.00357  0.00310  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  0.00488  0.00404  0.00489  0.00255  0.00492  0.00349  0.00357  0.00349  0.00020  Chlorhexidine +Inflamdent" (EG-1)  0.00488  0.00510  0.00510  0.00534  0.00345  0.00170  0.00440  0.00192  0.00192  0.00192  0.00192  0.00192  0.00192  0.00193  0.00192											
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).   0.43925   0.14953   0.00021   0.00140   0.22991   0.00187   0.00187   0.00003	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.39252	0.11215	0.00010	0.00093	0.20654	0.00093	0.00093			
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)		0.47664	0.22430	0.00040	0.00196	0.28318	0.00280	0.00280	0.00004		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance), and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.43925	0.14953	0.00021	0.00140	0.22991	0.00187	0.00187	0.00003		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)   0.03831   0.00785   0.03905   0.00222   0.03763   0.00188   0.03909   0.00188											
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independen	t samples. in	cluding Stude	ent's t-test. o	ne-way ANO	VA (analysis	of variance). a	and the Schef	fé post hoc		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)											
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.03857         0.00796         0.03921         0.00224         0.03864         0.00193         0.03984         0.00199           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.04068         0.00844         0.04099         0.00235         0.04079         0.00204         0.04160         0.00212           Gingival sulcus bleeding         Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         22.24299         12.52336         0.00010         0.00093         13.36449         6.82243         0.00093         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-2)         28.69159         16.72897         0.00040         0.00196         17.66355         8.78505         0.00280         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Influsion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         24.20561         14.29907         0.00021         0.00140         15.70093         7.57009         0.00187         0.00003           Chlorhexidine + Influsion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         40.37383         20.18692         0.00048         0.00224         18.78505         9.53271         0.00467         0.00006           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc         test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05		0.03831	0.00785					0.03909	0.00188		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.03998	0.00811	0.04011	0.00233	0.04002	0.00200	0.04030	0.00245		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)   22.24299   12.52336   0.00010   0.00093   13.36449   6.82243   0.00093   0.00001	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.03857	0.00796	0.03921	0.00224	0.03864	0.00193	0.03984	0.00199		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         22.24299         12.52336         0.00010         0.00093         13.36449         6.82243         0.00093         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         28.69159         16.72897         0.00040         0.00196         17.66355         8.78505         0.00280         0.00004           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         40.37383         20.18692         0.00048         0.00224         18.78505         9.53271         0.00467         0.00006           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc         5.53271         0.00467         0.00006           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00559         0.00275         0.00238         0.00119         0.00389         0.00190         0.00135         0.00031           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-2)         0.00684         0.00348         0.00460         0.00225         0.00649         0.00357         0.00273         0.00014           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-2)         0.00576         0.00286         0.00473         0.00236         0.00492         0.00255         0.00394         0.00020           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314	Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.04068	0.00844	0.04099	0.00235	0.04079	0.00204	0.04160	0.00212		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)         28.69159         16.72897         0.00040         0.00196         17.66355         8.78505         0.00280         0.00004           Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         24.20561         14.29907         0.00021         0.00140         15.70093         7.57009         0.00187         0.00003           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         40.37383         20.18692         0.00048         0.00224         18.78505         9.53271         0.00467         0.00006           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05	Gingival sulcus bleeding										
Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         24.20561         14.29907         0.00021         0.00140         15.70093         7.57009         0.00187         0.00003           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         40.37383         20.18692         0.00048         0.00224         18.78505         9.53271         0.00467         0.00006           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	22.24299	12.52336	0.00010	0.00093	13.36449	6.82243	0.00093	0.00001		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         40.37383         20.18692         0.00048         0.00224         18.78505         9.53271         0.00467         0.00006           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05	Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	28.69159	16.72897	0.00040	0.00196	17.66355	8.78505	0.00280	0.00004		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05  Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	24.20561	14.29907	0.00021	0.00140	15.70093	7.57009	0.00187	0.00003		
test. Statistical significance was considered at p < 0.05           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00559         0.00275         0.00238         0.00119         0.00389         0.00190         0.00135         0.00031           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00684         0.00348         0.00460         0.00225         0.00649         0.00357         0.00273         0.00014           Chlorhexidine + Influsion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00576         0.00286         0.00473         0.00236         0.00492         0.00255         0.00394         0.00020           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00911         0.00423         0.00593         0.00292         0.00669         0.00349         0.00520         0.00017           Candida         0.00540         0.00423         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Influsion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00345         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	40.37383	20.18692	0.00048	0.00224	18.78505	9.53271	0.00467	0.00006		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00559         0.00275         0.00238         0.00119         0.00389         0.00190         0.00135         0.00031           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil (EG-2)         0.00684         0.00348         0.00460         0.00225         0.00649         0.00357         0.00273         0.00014           Chlorhexidine + Influsion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00576         0.00286         0.00473         0.00236         0.00492         0.00255         0.00394         0.00020           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00911         0.00423         0.00593         0.00292         0.00669         0.00349         0.00520         0.00017           Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Influsion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00345         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363	Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independen	t samples. in	cluding Stude	ent's t-test. o	ne-way ANO	VA (analysis	of variance). a	and the Schef	fé post hoc		
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00684         0.00348         0.00460         0.00225         0.00649         0.00357         0.00273         0.00014           Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00576         0.00286         0.00473         0.00236         0.00492         0.00255         0.00394         0.00020           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00911         0.00423         0.00593         0.00292         0.00669         0.00349         0.00520         0.00017           Candida         Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00334         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363         0.00181         0.00440         0.00216         0.00232         0.00005           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. incl											
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00576         0.00286         0.00473         0.00236         0.00492         0.00255         0.00394         0.00020           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00911         0.00423         0.00593         0.00292         0.00669         0.00349         0.00520         0.00017           Candida         Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00334         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363         0.00181         0.00440         0.00216         0.00232         0.00005           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.00559									
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00911         0.00423         0.00593         0.00292         0.00669         0.00349         0.00520         0.00017           Candida         Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00334         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363         0.00181         0.00440         0.00216         0.00232         0.00005           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.00684	0.00348	0.00460	0.00225	0.00649	0.00357	0.00273	0.00014		
Candida         Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00334         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363         0.00181         0.00440         0.00216         0.00232         0.00005           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.00576	0.00286	0.00473	0.00236	0.00492	0.00255	0.00394	0.00020		
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)         0.00488         0.00243         0.00314         0.00166         0.00385         0.00192         0.00125         0.00001           Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00254         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00334         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363         0.00181         0.00440         0.00216         0.00232         0.00005           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.00911	0.00423	0.00593	0.00292	0.00669	0.00349	0.00520	0.00017		
Chlorhexidine + Jasmine oil(EG-2)         0.00520         0.00524         0.00345         0.00170         0.00412         0.00206         0.00172         0.00004           Chlorhexidine + Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).         0.00510         0.00245         0.00334         0.00168         0.00396         0.00198         0.00142         0.00002           Chlorhexidine (CG-2)         0.00534         0.00263         0.00363         0.00181         0.00440         0.00216         0.00232         0.00005           Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Candida										
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).0.005100.002450.003340.001680.003960.001980.001420.00002Chlorhexidine (CG-2)0.005340.002630.003630.001810.004400.002160.002320.00005Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.00488	0.00243	0.00314	0.00166	0.00385	0.00192	0.00125	0.00001		
Chlorhexidine (CG-2) 0.00534 0.00263 0.00363 0.00181 0.00440 0.00216 0.00232 0.00005  Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.00520	0.00254	0.00345	0.00170	0.00412	0.00206	0.00172	0.00004		
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc	Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.00510	0.00245	0.00334	0.00168			0.00142	0.00002		
test. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$	Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc										
	test. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$										

Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.00243	0.00009	0.02693	0.00037	0.02571	0.01291	0.02735	0.00019	
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.00272	0.00047	0.02917	0.00075	0.02828	0.01416	0.03009	0.00047	
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.00264	0.00037	0.02852	0.00065	0.02725	0.01373	0.02952	0.00028	
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.00367	0.00065	0.04029	0.00084	0.03882	0.02018	0.04115	0.00084	
Local Allergy									
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.04127	0.00009	0.04039	0.00009	0.03957	0.00020	0.03856	0.00020	
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.03768	0.00047	0.03328	0.00047	0.03519	0.00058	0.02049	0.00058	
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.03976	0.00037	0.03610	0.00037	0.03656	0.00050	0.03294	0.00040	
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.03567	0.00065	0.03104	0.00065	0.03286	0.00076	0.01791	0.00086	
Comparison of groups was performed using parametric tests for independent samples. including Student's t-test. one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance). and the Scheffé post hoc									
test. Statistical significance was considered at $p < 0.05$									
Chlorhexidine + Inflamdent" (EG-1)	0.04505	0.00021	0.00118	0.00019	0.02012	0.00019	0.00045	0.00000	
Chlorhexidine +Jasmine oil(EG-2)	0.01981	0.00040	0.00768	0.00084	0.00855	0.00084	0.00020	0.00000	
Chlorhexidine +Infusion of Peganum Harmala (EG-3).	0.03037	0.00033	0.00099	0.00047	0.01172	0.00047	0.00030	0.00000	
Chlorhexidine (CG-2)	0.01598	0.00038	0.00679	0.00140	0.00771	0.00140	0.00016	0.00001	

Note: MG- main group; EG-experimental group.

In the study, the PMA (Papillary-Marginal-Alveolar) index for periodontal tissue in the groups treated with 'Inflamdent' and Jasmine oil was as follows: In group 1, the index value was  $26.1\pm1.8\%$ ; in group 2,  $22.4\pm2.7\%$ ; and in group 3,  $15.1\pm1.9\%$ . This was significantly lower when compared to the 'Chlorhexidine bigluconate' group. The Periodontal Index (PI) was as follows: In group 1, PI was  $0.44\pm0.01$ ; in group 2,  $0.36\pm0.001$ ; and in group 3,  $0.58\pm0.02$ . Regarding bleeding from the gingival sulcus, the effectiveness in the group using 'Chlorhexidine' was 12% lower in group 1 and 15% lower in group 2, indicating a reduction in bleeding. However, the decrease in bleeding for the group using 'Inflamdent' and Jasmine oil solution was consistently between 90% and 95%. In the treatment of chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, the effectiveness in reducing bleeding from the gingival sulcus and papillae was lower in the 'Chlorhexidine' group, with a rating of 28%, compared to 'Inflamdent' (24%), Jasmine oil (22%), and Infusion of Peganum Harmala (8%). Local allergic reactions and adverse conditions showed a more favorable response for 'Inflamdent' and Jasmine oil as compared to 'Chlorhexidine,' which demonstrated less effectiveness in these cases.

In the study, an increase in IgG concentration was observed in patients with chronic hypertrophic gingivitis before treatment, indicating the activation of humoral immunity in response to previously known antigens. An increase in IgA levels was noted, which serves as a protective mechanism against damage to the oral mucosa and acts as a barrier against pathogenic microorganisms. A decrease in IgM levels was observed, which could be explained by insufficient synthesis of larger molecules. In the second stage, no changes in IgA concentration were recorded. In adolescents with chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, there were distinct shifts in local immunity, including elevated levels of IgG, sIgA, IL-4, IL-8, and functional reserves of neutrophils. An increase in the stimulation index, as well as a decrease in IgM levels and a reduction in the concentration of oxygen-dependent enzymes in the cytoplasm of phagocytes, were noted in the NBT-spontaneous test.

The results obtained confirm the significance and effectiveness of 'Inflamdent', Jasmine oil, and the infusion of Peganum Harmala in the treatment of chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, both individually and in combination, with their impact characteristics and representative indicators, the correctness of the study design, and the various statistical qualities of the results. In particular, multiple comparisons (4 patient groups, two parallel stages, and respectively 7 and 3 indicators) support the assessment of the overall effectiveness and integral indicators. The main results of the first phase of the study regarding the preparations include: individual indicators and characteristics, antiseptic activity, anti-inflammatory activity, reparative activity, effectiveness in treating chronic hypertrophic gingivitis, bleeding from the gingival papilla, degree of complications, organoleptic qualities, availability according to the situation, clinical effectiveness as an integrated index, additional application conditions, and ratings of the final effectiveness integrated complex indicators. The results allowed for the formation of a comparative framework of the complex characteristics of the four preparation groups.

The 'Inflamdent Gel' demonstrated effective antiseptic activity, situational availability, and additional application effectiveness. It showed improvement in the condition of periodontal tissues, complication rates (including candidiasis at 7%, local allergic reactions at 15%, and positive changes in the oral mucosa at 2%), organoleptic qualities, and clinical effectiveness as an integrated index, which were rated as 'good'. The anti-inflammatory and reparative activity was rated 'average'. The Jasmine oil showed high anti-inflammatory and reparative activity, a short healing time, a low complication rate (only candidiasis at 2%), and minimal allergic reactions (1%). There were no negative changes in the oral mucosa. The periodontal tissue condition and clinical effectiveness as an integrated index were rated 'excellent'. However, it had minimal antiseptic activity and relatively low situational availability, which are considered drawbacks.

The infusion of Peganum harmala showed positive results in terms of neutrophil count, anti-inflammatory and reparative activity, improvement in periodontal tissue indices (GI, PI, PMA), complication rate, and situational availability, which were all rated 'excellent'. Antiseptic activity, organoleptic quality, and integrated clinical effectiveness were rated as 'satisfactory'. Moreover, its effectiveness in reducing bleeding from the gingival papilla and additional application conditions was partially rated as 'positive'. The 'Chlorhexidine Bigluconate' did not show significant effectiveness in any indicator or characteristic (except for neutrophil count). It was rated as 'unsatisfactory' for anti-inflammatory and reparative activity, periodontal tissue condition indices, complication rates, and situational availability. The effectiveness in terms of gingival bleeding and additional application conditions was also rated 'unsatisfactory'.

Results of the second phase of the study: improvement in the effectiveness of chronic hypertrophic gingivitis treatment. The number of patients in whom the disease symptoms were completely eliminated: Group 1: 76%, Group 2: 49%, Group 3: 54%. Compared to conventional (C/G) or 'standard' treatment (27%), the results were positive. Final results: In groups 1, 2, and 3, the effectiveness reached 93-100% (compared to 67% in the C/G group). The risk of candidiasis was 3-5% in each stage of the treatment. Prevention of mucosal atrophy changes in the oral cavity was successfully achieved, with no progression (less than 2%). Overall complications decreased by 3-4 times, supported by both univariate and multivariate statistical results showing an integral relationship.

# 5. Conclusions

- 1. In patients diagnosed with chronic hypertrophic gingivitis in the study, moderate and severe forms (78.2%) were predominantly identified. Against the backdrop of the pathology: K-distribution: 94%; CPO intensity: 13.3; Nodular keratosis: 44.17%; Oral mucosa pathology: 23.9%; Need for dental prostheses: 34.04%; Poor oral hygiene: 82.2%; Difficult sensations: 61.04%; Gingival bleeding: 47.54%; Salivary pH and acidity: Abnormal; Local immune indicators (IgG, sIgA, IgM, IL-4, IL-8, and neutrophils): Negative shifts; Parakeratosis and hyperkeratosis intensification in the gingival tissue, along with swelling and venous stasis, indicate the progression of diffuse inflammation.
- 2. In the study, the clinical-functional state of the oral cavity and tissues in the patients, specifically the periodontal and oral mucosal tissues, the biochemical properties of oral fluids, and the pathogenic mechanisms of inflammation in the

- gingival papillae, were directed towards a positive shift. This was achieved through complex dental treatment, supported by local herbal medicinal preparations. The antiseptic, reparative, anti-allergic properties ('Inflamdent'), pain relief, and anti-inflammatory effects (Jasmine oil), as well as regenerative and local immune restoration (Infusion of Peganum Harmala), were highly effective. The results were confirmed by modern univariate and multivariate statistical analyses.
- 3. Second phase of the study, when phytopreparations and 'Chlorhexidine Bigluconate' were used together: antiseptic activity; anti-inflammatory activity; reparative activity; complication rate; situational availability; clinical effectiveness based on integrated indicators. The rankings were as follows: 1st place: "Inflamdent + Chlorhexidine"; 2nd place: "Jasmine oil + Chlorhexidine"; 3rd place: "Infusion of Peganum harmala + Chlorhexidine".

# References

- [1] S. Alijon *et al.*, "Justification for the physiological isolation of the torus based on the pain sensitivity of the oral mucosa," presented at the In BIO Web of Conferences (Vol. 152, p. 01008). EDP Sciences.https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202515201008, 2025.
- [2] G. S. Amrulloyevich, M. m. D. Rahimovna, N. U. b. Qahramonovich, M. N. Samandarovna, S. A. Axmadovich, and G. S. Sunnatulloyevna, "Grounding and solutions of ecological sustainability, stomatology, and human health problems in scientific-practical-experiments," *Journal of Ecohumanism*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 886-897, 2024. https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i4.3614
- [3] S. Bloch, F. F. Hager-Mair, O. Andrukhov, and C. Schäffer, "Oral streptococci: Modulators of health and disease," *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, vol. 14, p. 1357631, 2024. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1357631
- [4] O. Bongard and B. Fagrell, "Variations in laser Doppler flux and flow motion patterns in the dorsal skin of the human foot," *Microvascular Research*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 212-222, 1990. https://doi.org/10.1016/0026-2862(90)90071-X
- [5] G. Cherry-Peppers *et al.*, "A review of the risks and relationships between oral health and chronic diseases," *Journal of the National Medical Association*, vol. 116, no. 6, pp. 646-653, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnma.2024.01.003
- [6] C.-P. Ernst, K. Canbek, A. Dillenburger, and B. Willershausen, "Clinical study on the effectiveness and side effects of hexetidine and chlorhexidine mouthrinses versus a negative control," *Quintessence International-English Edition*-, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 641-652, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1610/j.qi.2005.36.8.641
- [7] S. G. Fitzpatrick, S. Alramadhan, M. N. Islam, and I. Bhattacharyya, "Increased frequency of oral plasma cell mucositis/plasma cell gingivitis after the COVID-19 pandemic: a 23-year retrospective analysis and review of diagnostic challenges relating to this condition," *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 153-160, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.0000.2023.08.010
- [8] S. Gafforov, M. Shamsiyeva, A. Sobirov, and I. Akhrarova Sh, "Biochemical characteristics of oral cavity pathology in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy," *Sciences of Europe*, vol. 144, pp. 32-39, n.d. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12739920
- [9] K. Y. How, K. P. Song, and K. G. Chan, "Porphyromonas gingivalis: An overview of periodontopathic pathogen below the gum line," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 7, p. 53, 2016. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00053
- [10] N. A. Hussein, Z. S. Soliman, and M. F. Edrees, "Oral microbiota associated with gingiva of healthy, gingivitis and periodontitis cases," *Microbial Pathogenesis*, vol. 171, p. 105724, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105724
- [11] A. Jankish, J. Varghese, V. P. Shenoy, S. Khan, and V. Kamath, "Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial and anti-gingivitis effect of Ocimum tenuiflorum Linn. gel with 0.2% chlorhexidine gel—Randomized controlled clinical trial," *Journal of Herbal Medicine*, vol. 29, p. 100478, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hermed.2021.100478
- [12] N. Kazakova and A. Sobirov, "Changes in saliva in children with comorbidities," *Journal For Innovative Development in Pharmaceutical and Technical Science*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 2, 2021.
- [13] Y.-H. Lee *et al.*, "Oral microbiome profiles of gingivitis and periodontitis by next-generation sequencing among a group of hospital patients in Korea: A cross-sectional study," *Journal of Oral Biosciences*, vol. 67, no. 1, p. 100591, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.job.2024.100591
- [14] M. Shamsiyeva, S. Gafforov, and A. Sobirov, "Basing the formation of pathologies of the oral cavity in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy with the help of clinical and laboratory studies," *Sciences of Europe*, vol. 144, pp. 40-45, 2024.
- [15] L. Minko, O. Blavatska, O. Slaba, and K. Sichkoriz, "Pss55—comparative effectiveness of integrated local treatments of generalized periodontitis and chronic gingivitis," *Value in Health*, vol. 21, p. S432, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2018.09.2555
- [16] G. Stringer, "Chronic periodontitis, dantamoolaroga, indicates chronic systemic inflammation and reduces longevity," *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 6, p. 101048, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaim.2024.101048
- [17] G. Newcomb, G. Seymour, and K. Adkins, "An unusual form of chronic gingivitis: An ultrastructural, histochemical, and immunologic investigation," *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 488-495, 1982. https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(82)90462-5
- [18] R. R. Talasani *et al.*, "Efficacy of ozonated water over chlorhexidine mouth rinse in chronic gingivitis patients—A comparative clinical study," *The Saudi Dental Journal*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 738-743, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sdentj.2022.09.004
- [19] G. Varsha, C. T. Baishnab, G. Neelima, and P. Jaya, "Chapter 2 significance of the normal microflora of the body," *Microbial Crosstalk with Immune System New Insights in Therapeutics Developments in Immunology*, pp. 21-38, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-96128-8.00008-0
- [20] R. Williams, "Periodontal diseases: Gingivitis, juvenile periodontitis, adult periodontitis," *Current Clinical Topics in Infectious Diseases*, vol. 13, pp. 146-163, 1993. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199002083220607