International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies, 9(5) 2026, pages: 143-151

IJIRSS
International Journal of Innovative Research & Scientific Studies

ISSN: 2617-6548

URL: www.ijirss.com

Exploring the long-term effects of HRV biofeedback interventions combined with mindfulness practices in alleviating workplace stress among Asian professionals

Adrian Low[1*], Benny Lam[2]

[1,2]*Hong Kong Association of Psychology, Hong Kong.*

Corresponding author: Adrian Low (*Email: adrian.low@live.hk*)

Abstract

Workplace stress constitutes a significant public health concern in high-performance economies, with particular salience in Hong Kong's demanding professional environment. Heart rate variability (HRV) has emerged as a validated psychophysiological biomarker for autonomic nervous system regulation and stress reactivity [1] while mindfulness-based interventions have demonstrated independent efficacy in promoting emotional regulation and psychological resilience. Despite the theoretical complementarity of these approaches, their combined application — and particularly their long-term effects — remains insufficiently investigated, especially within Asian professional populations where cultural factors may meaningfully shape stress appraisal and coping behavior. This study employed a mixed-methods, two-group parallel design to evaluate the efficacy of HRV biofeedback alone versus HRV biofeedback combined with structured mindfulness practices in reducing workplace stress among 100 Hong Kong professionals aged 25–50. Participants completed an 8-week intervention protocol with assessments at baseline, post-intervention, and 6-month follow-up. Outcome measures included time-domain and frequency-domain HRV parameters (SDNN, RMSSD, normalized coherence), self-reported stress via the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) and Personal and Organizational Quality Assessment (POQA), cardiovascular health markers, and qualitative data on cultural attitudes toward stress and coping. Results demonstrated significant improvements in HRV parameters and perceived stress across both groups, with the combined intervention group exhibiting substantially greater gains and superior maintenance of benefits at 6-month follow-up. Qualitative findings identified emotional suppression as a culturally embedded barrier to stress regulation among Asian professionals — a pattern previously documented in Hong Kong organizational research [2]— with mindfulness practices offering a culturally congruent pathway to enhanced emotional awareness. These findings support the integration of physiologically grounded and psychologically informed interventions in workplace wellness programming, with implications for organizational policy and culturally adapted clinical practice.

Keywords: Asian professionals, Autonomic nervous system, Emotional regulation, emWave Pro Plus, Heart rate variability, Hong Kong, HRV biofeedback, Mindfulness, Occupational health, Workplace stress.

DOI: 10.53894/ijirss.v9i5.11655
Funding: This study received no specific financial support.
History: Received: 2 March 2026 / **Revised:** 28 April 2026 / **Accepted:** 4 May 2026 / **Published:** 18 May 2026
Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Transparency: The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.
Publisher: Innovative Research Publishing

1. Introduction

1.1. The Burden of Workplace Stress

Workplace stress represents one of the most pervasive and economically consequential challenges in contemporary occupational health. The World Health Organization [3] has identified work-related stress as a global epidemic, with substantial costs to individual well-being, organizational productivity, and public health systems. Karasek Jr [4] demand-control model established the foundational framework for understanding how the combination of high job demands, low decision latitude, and insufficient social support generates chronic stress — a configuration particularly prevalent in high-performance financial and professional service environments.

Hong Kong presents a particularly acute context for this inquiry. Consistently ranked among the world's most competitive economies, Hong Kong's professional culture is characterized by extended working hours, high performance expectations, and a cultural ethos that valorizes stoicism and productivity over self-care. Epidemiological data indicate that Hong Kong professionals report among the highest rates of occupational stress in the Asia-Pacific region, with significant downstream consequences for cardiovascular health, mental well-being, and organizational functioning. Low and McCraty [2] documented these dynamics empirically in a large Hong Kong organization, finding that the average employee experienced stress levels approaching the high-stress threshold on the PSS (M = 17.69), with notable proportions reporting emotional depletion, anxiety, and intention to quit — findings that underscore the urgency of effective workplace stress interventions in this context.

1.2. Heart Rate Variability as a Psychophysiological Biomarker

Heart rate variability — the beat-to-beat variation in cardiac inter-interval timing — has emerged over the past three decades as one of the most robust and clinically validated biomarkers of autonomic nervous system function [5]. HRV reflects the dynamic balance between sympathetic activation and parasympathetic (vagal) modulation, with higher HRV generally indicating greater autonomic flexibility and adaptive capacity. Conversely, chronically reduced HRV is associated with elevated risk of cardiovascular disease, anxiety disorders, depression, and impaired cognitive performance [6]. A meta-analysis of eight studies encompassing 21,988 participants found that low HRV is associated with a 32%–45% increased risk of a first cardiovascular event in populations without known cardiovascular disease [7] — a finding with direct relevance to high-stress professional populations.

The neurovisceral integration model [8] provides a theoretical framework linking HRV to prefrontal cortical regulation of emotional and behavioral responses, positioning HRV as an index not merely of cardiac health but of broader self-regulatory capacity. Low and McCraty [1] extended this framework to the occupational context, demonstrating that HRV — assessed using the emWave Pro Plus device — provides a reliable objective complement to self-reported stress measures, capturing physiological dimensions of stress that subjective instruments alone cannot detect.

1.3. HRV Biofeedback as an Intervention

HRV biofeedback — a technique in which individuals receive real-time feedback on their cardiac rhythms and learn to voluntarily shift their autonomic state toward greater coherence — has accumulated a substantial evidence base as a stress management intervention [9]. By training individuals to breathe at their resonance frequency (approximately 0.1 Hz), HRV biofeedback promotes baroreflex sensitivity, increases vagal tone, and shifts autonomic balance toward parasympathetic predominance.

Clinical case evidence from Hong Kong has demonstrated the practical utility of this approach. Low and Wong [10] documented a four-session HRV biofeedback intervention with a senior manager using the emWave Pro Plus, in which the participant progressed from a baseline coherence of 26% high coherence (HC) to 86% HC by the final session. The participant was able to directly observe how stressful thoughts and emotions adversely affected his cardiac rhythms, providing powerful motivational feedback that facilitated sustained physiological change. Similarly, Low and Chan [11] demonstrated that HRV biofeedback, when combined with aromatherapeutic breathing, produced progressive coherence improvements across four sessions in a professional woman presenting with workplace stress, insomnia, and anxiety — with coherence levels rising from 36% HC at baseline to 71–82% HC by the concluding session. These practice-based case studies establish the clinical feasibility and acceptability of HRV biofeedback in Hong Kong professional populations, providing an empirical foundation for the present group-level investigation.

1.4. Mindfulness-Based Interventions and Their Complementarity with HRV Biofeedback

Mindfulness — defined as the intentional, non-judgmental awareness of present-moment experience [12]— has demonstrated robust efficacy in reducing perceived stress, improving emotional regulation, and enhancing psychological well-being across clinical and non-clinical populations [13]. Neurobiologically, mindfulness practice has been associated with increased prefrontal cortical thickness, reduced amygdala reactivity, and enhanced vagal tone — mechanisms that overlap substantially with those targeted by HRV biofeedback [14].

The theoretical complementarity of these two approaches is compelling. HRV biofeedback operates primarily through bottom-up physiological regulation — training the body's autonomic systems directly through cardiac rhythm feedback. Mindfulness operates through top-down cognitive and attentional regulation — cultivating metacognitive awareness that modulates emotional reactivity. Their integration may therefore produce synergistic effects that neither approach achieves independently, addressing stress at both physiological and psychological levels simultaneously.

1.5. Cultural Context and Research Gap

Despite the growing evidence base for both HRV biofeedback and mindfulness interventions, significant gaps remain. First, the majority of existing research has been conducted in Western populations, limiting generalizability to Asian contexts where cultural factors — including collectivism, face-consciousness, and normative emotional suppression — may substantially shape stress appraisal, coping behavior, and intervention response. Low and McCraty [2] identified this cultural dynamic empirically, finding that Asian professionals' tendency toward emotional suppression — rooted in cultural norms that frame emotional restraint as maturity and social responsibility — may paradoxically produce counterintuitive HRV patterns, with emotionally stressed employees sometimes presenting with higher MHRR values, potentially reflecting the physiological cost of sustained suppression efforts.

Second, long-term follow-up data beyond immediate post-intervention assessment are sparse, leaving the durability of intervention effects inadequately characterized. Third, the combined application of HRV biofeedback and mindfulness has received limited systematic investigation, particularly in occupational settings. The present study addresses all three gaps.

1.6. Study Objectives

This study addresses these gaps through three primary objectives:

1. To evaluate the comparative efficacy of HRV biofeedback alone versus HRV biofeedback combined with mindfulness practices in reducing workplace stress among Hong Kong professionals
2. To investigate the long-term effects of both interventions on HRV parameters and cardiovascular health markers at 6-month follow-up
3. To explore cultural factors influencing stress responses and intervention engagement among Asian professionals

2. Literature Review

2.1. HRV Biofeedback in Occupational Stress Management

The application of HRV biofeedback in occupational settings has been examined across a range of professional populations. Sutarto, et al. [15] demonstrated significant reductions in anxiety and improvements in HRV parameters among manufacturing operators following a resonance frequency breathing intervention. McCraty, et al. [16] reported improvements in organizational climate, emotional regulation, and HRV coherence among healthcare workers following HeartMath-based HRV biofeedback training.

Within the Hong Kong context specifically, Low and McCraty [1] conducted the first known study correlating HRV with POQA and PSS in a Hong Kong organizational sample, establishing that HRV provides a reliable objective complement to self-reported stress measures. Their correlational findings — including significant negative relationships between perceived stress and both SDNN ($r = -.255$, $p < .05$) and RMSSD ($r = -.282$, $p < .01$) — confirmed that HRV is a sensitive indicator of workplace stress in this population. The emWave Pro Plus device, used in both that study and the present investigation, was validated as a reliable photoplethysmography-based HRV measurement tool capable of capturing real-time autonomic dynamics [1, 10].

At the individual clinical level, Low and Wong [10] demonstrated in a four-session case study that a Hong Kong senior manager could achieve dramatic coherence improvements through HRV biofeedback training — progressing from 26% HC at baseline to 86% HC by Session 4 — while simultaneously developing the capacity to recognize and interrupt stress-driven autonomic dysregulation in real time. Low and Chan [11] extended this work by demonstrating that HRV biofeedback can serve as a biological monitoring tool for evaluating the effectiveness of complementary interventions, with aromatherapeutic breathing producing progressive coherence improvements that were objectively tracked through HRV parameters across sessions.

2.2. Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction in Professional Populations

Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), originally developed by Kabat-Zinn [17] for chronic pain management, has been extensively adapted for occupational stress. A meta-analysis by Khoury, et al. [13] examining 209 studies found moderate-to-large effect sizes for mindfulness interventions on stress, anxiety, and depression. In professional populations specifically, mindfulness training has been associated with reduced burnout, improved emotional regulation, and enhanced job satisfaction [18]. Importantly, mindfulness has also been shown to increase HRV, suggesting a shared neurobiological pathway with HRV biofeedback [19].

The HeartMath stress reduction exercises (SREs) employed in the HRV biofeedback case studies by Low and Wong [10] and Low and Chan [11]— including the neutral tool, heart lock-in technique, attitude breathing, and quick coherence technique — share functional characteristics with mindfulness practices, incorporating focused attention, present-moment awareness, and intentional emotional reorientation. The present study builds on this precedent by formally integrating structured MBSR-derived mindfulness practices with HRV biofeedback, testing whether this combination produces superior outcomes to biofeedback with SREs alone.

2.3. Cultural Considerations in Stress Research

Cultural psychology research has consistently documented significant cross-cultural variation in stress appraisal, emotional expression, and help-seeking behavior. In East Asian cultural contexts, the Confucian emphasis on social harmony, face-maintenance, and emotional restraint creates a normative environment in which emotional suppression is not merely common but socially reinforced Tsai, et al. [20].

Low and McCraty [2] provided direct empirical evidence of this dynamic in their Hong Kong organizational study, identifying emotional suppression as a significant moderator of the HRV-stress relationship. Their finding that higher emotional stress was paradoxically associated with higher MHRR — interpreted through the lens of personality, Asian cultural norms, emotion regulation capacity, and age — highlights the complexity of stress physiology in this population and the inadequacy of applying Western stress models without cultural adaptation. Furthermore, their polyvagal-informed analysis of relational tension — drawing on Porges [21] framework — suggested that the social engagement system plays a critical role in workplace stress regulation among Hong Kong employees, with implications for intervention design that prioritize interpersonal safety and connection alongside individual physiological training.

3. Methodology

3.1. Study Design

This study employed a mixed-methods, two-group parallel design with repeated measures at three time points: baseline (T1), post-intervention (T2, Week 8), and 6-month follow-up (T3). Quantitative outcome data were supplemented by structured qualitative interviews conducted at T2 and T3 to capture cultural attitudes toward stress, emotional regulation, and intervention experience.

3.2. Participants

One hundred professionals were recruited through purposive sampling from high-stress work environments in Hong Kong, including financial services, legal, healthcare, and technology sectors. Inclusion criteria were: (a) aged 25–50 years; (b) currently employed full-time in a professional role; (c) self-reported moderate-to-high workplace stress (PSS score ≥ 14); and (d) no current use of medications known to influence HRV, including beta-blockers, diuretics, ACE inhibitors, or antidepressants [1]. Participants were randomly assigned to one of two intervention groups:

- Group A (n = 50): HRV biofeedback with HeartMath stress reduction exercises
- Group B (n = 50): HRV biofeedback with HeartMath stress reduction exercises combined with structured mindfulness practices

Table 1.
Participant Demographic Characteristics by Group.

Characteristic	Group A (n = 50)	Group B (n = 50)	Total (N = 100)
Age Range	25–50 years	25–50 years	25–50 years
Gender (% Female)	52%	54%	53%
Industry Sectors	Finance, Legal, Healthcare, Tech	Finance, Legal, Healthcare, Tech	Mixed
Mean Baseline PSS	25.0	26.0	25.5
Mean Baseline SDNN (ms)	90	85	87.5

3.3. Intervention Protocol

3.3.1. HRV Biofeedback Component (Both Groups)

All participants utilized the emWave Pro Plus device (HeartMath Institute) for HRV biofeedback training, consistent with the measurement protocol established in prior Hong Kong HRV research [1, 10, 11]. The device collects pulse data via a photoplethysmography sensor placed on the participant's earlobe or fingertip, translating cardiac rhythm data into real-time visual feedback on autonomic coherence [22]. Each session incorporated resonance frequency breathing at approximately 0.1 Hz (5–6 breaths per minute), guided by the device's coherence feedback interface.

The HeartMath stress reduction exercises (SREs) integrated into each session included the neutral tool (focused breathing with neutral emotional orientation), the heart lock-in technique (sustained positive emotional activation centered on the heart area), attitude breathing (replacement of draining attitudes with regenerative ones through focused breathing), and the quick coherence technique (rapid coherence induction through heart-focused breathing and positive emotion activation) — all of which have demonstrated clinical effectiveness in prior case-based research with Hong Kong professionals [10, 11]. Participants were encouraged to practice independently for 10–15 minutes daily between sessions.

3.3.2. Mindfulness Component (Group B Only)

Group B participants additionally engaged in structured mindfulness exercises integrated into each HRV biofeedback session. The mindfulness component drew from the MBSR protocol [17] and included:

- Body scan meditation: Progressive somatic awareness cultivating interoceptive sensitivity
- Focused breathing: Attention anchoring on respiratory sensations supporting present-moment awareness
- Open monitoring meditation: Non-judgmental observation of thoughts, emotions, and bodily sensations
- Mindful movement: Brief mindful stretching sequences integrating somatic and attentional awareness

The mindfulness component was delivered by a certified MBSR instructor and was culturally adapted to incorporate language and metaphors relevant to Hong Kong professional culture, minimizing stigma and maximizing engagement — an adaptation informed by the cultural barriers to emotional acknowledgement identified in Low and McCraty [2].

3.4. Outcome Measures

3.4.1. HRV Parameters

HRV was assessed using the emWave Pro Plus at each time point, following the standardized protocol established in Low and McCraty [1] which included a 5-minute resting state assessment followed by a 1-minute deep breathing protocol at six breath cycles per minute. The following parameters were recorded:

- SDNN (ms): Standard deviation of normal-to-normal intervals; overall HRV index
- RMSSD (ms): Root mean square of successive differences; parasympathetic/vagal tone index
- MHRR (beats/min): Mean heart rate range during breathing cycles
- Normalized Coherence (%): Proportion of HRV power in the coherence band (0.04–0.26 Hz), reflecting autonomic balance [23].

Reference ranges for all parameters were applied as established in prior clinical HRV research with this device: SDNN 35–141.8 ms; RMSSD 19.1–133.2 ms; MHRR 8.6–37.2 beats/min; normalized coherence 50–100% [10].

3.4.2. Self-Reported Stress

- Perceived Stress Scale (PSS; Cohen, et al. [24]): 10-item validated measure of perceived stress; scores ≥ 20 indicate high stress, with a population mean of approximately 13 [1].
- Personal and Organizational Quality Assessment — Revised 4 Model (POQA-R4; Barrios-Choplin and Atkinson [25]): 49-item instrument measuring emotional vitality, emotional stress, organizational stress, and physical symptoms of stress, validated on a database of 2,540 employed adults

3.4.3. Cardiovascular Health Markers

Resting blood pressure and heart rate were recorded at each time point using a validated automated sphygmomanometer.

3.4.4. Qualitative Data

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a purposive subsample of 20 participants (10 per group) at T2 and T3, exploring cultural attitudes toward emotional expression, perceived barriers to stress management, and subjective intervention experience. Interviews were conducted in Cantonese or English per participant preference.

3.5. Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS Version 24.0, consistent with prior HRV research in this context [1]. Between-group and within-group changes across time points were examined using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), with effect sizes reported as partial eta-squared (η_p^2). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were conducted using Bonferroni correction. Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$.

Qualitative interview data were analyzed using thematic analysis [26] with two independent coders developing and refining a thematic framework through iterative consensus.

3.6 Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all applicable ethical guidelines governing research involving human participants. Ethics approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board prior to data collection. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation, and confidentiality was maintained throughout. Participant identifiers were replaced with anonymized codes for all data analysis and reporting purposes.

4. Results

4.1. HRV Parameters

Table 2.
HRV Parameters by Group Across Time Points.

Parameter	Group	Baseline (T1)	Post-Intervention (T2)	6-Month Follow-Up (T3)	Change T1→T2	Change T1→T3
SDNN (ms)	A	90	110	105	+20 (+22%)	+15 (+17%)
SDNN (ms)	B	85	130	128	+45 (+53%)	+43 (+51%)
RMSSD (ms)	A	65	85	80	+20 (+31%)	+15 (+23%)
RMSSD (ms)	B	60	100	98	+40 (+67%)	+38 (+63%)
Coherence (%)	A	70	80	78	+10 (+14%)	+8 (+11%)
Coherence (%)	B	68	90	89	+22 (+32%)	+21 (+31%)

Both groups demonstrated statistically significant improvements in all HRV parameters from baseline to post-intervention ($p < .001$). Group B showed significantly greater improvements than Group A across all three HRV indices at both T2 and T3 ($p < .01$), with effect sizes in the large range (η^2 = .41–.58). The coherence improvements observed in Group B (68% → 90% HC) are notably consistent with the trajectory documented in individual HRV biofeedback cases in Hong Kong, where participants progressed from baseline coherence levels of 26–40% HC to 71–86% HC over four sessions [10, 11] suggesting that the group intervention protocol produces coherence gains of comparable magnitude to intensive individual clinical work.

4.2. Self-Reported Stress

Table 3.
PSS Scores by Group Across Time Points.

Group	Baseline PSS	Post-Intervention PSS	6-Month Follow-Up PSS	T1→T2 Reduction	T1→T3 Reduction
A	25.0	18.0	20.0	−7.0 (28%)	−5.0 (20%)
B	26.0	15.0	16.0	−11.0 (42%)	−10.0 (38%)

Both groups demonstrated significant reductions in PSS scores from baseline to post-intervention. Notably, baseline PSS scores for both groups (25.0 and 26.0) were consistent with the high-stress range documented in Low and McCraty [2] Hong Kong organizational sample ($M = 17.69$), confirming that the present sample was drawn from a genuinely high-stress professional population. Group B's post-intervention PSS of 15.0 approached the population average of 13, representing a clinically meaningful normalization of perceived stress.

4.3. Cardiovascular Health Markers

Both groups demonstrated modest but statistically significant reductions in resting systolic blood pressure and resting heart rate from T1 to T2, consistent with the heart rate deceleration documented in individual HRV biofeedback cases — where resting heart rate decreased from 75 BPM to 61 BPM across sessions [11] and breathing rate stabilized at 5–6 breaths per minute [10]. Group B showed greater reductions in both measures, consistent with the superior HRV improvements observed.

4.4. Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis of interview data yielded four primary themes:

Theme 1 — Emotional Suppression as a Cultural Default: The majority of participants described a deeply ingrained tendency to suppress emotional responses in professional contexts. This pattern is consistent with Low and McCraty [2] finding that Asian cultural norms frame emotional restraint as maturity and social awareness, with suppression functioning as a default stress response that may paradoxically amplify physiological stress reactivity over time.

Theme 2 — Physiological Awareness as a Non-Threatening Entry Point: Group A participants reported that the physiological focus of HRV biofeedback provided a culturally acceptable, face-preserving entry point into stress management. This mirrors the experience documented in Low and Wong [10] where the participant was described as motivated to change specifically because he could *see* the physiological effects of his stress responses on the emWave Pro Plus display — a visual, objective framing that bypassed the stigma associated with psychological help-seeking.

Theme 3 — Mindfulness as Emotional Permission: Group B participants described mindfulness practices as providing explicit permission to acknowledge and process emotional experiences. Representative quote: *"The mindfulness helped me realize I was allowed to feel stressed — and that noticing it didn't mean I was weak."* This theme directly addresses the cultural suppression dynamic identified by Low and McCraty [2] and suggests that culturally adapted mindfulness may provide a corrective emotional experience that complements the physiological regulation achieved through biofeedback.

Theme 4 — Sustained Practice and Identity Integration: Group B participants more frequently reported integrating intervention practices into daily routines at 6-month follow-up, consistent with the recommendation in both Low and Wong

[10] and Low and Chan [11] that participants continue practicing HRV coherence techniques in everyday life as a maintenance strategy.

5. Discussion

5.1. Synergistic Effects of Combined Intervention

The primary finding of this study — that HRV biofeedback combined with mindfulness produces significantly greater and more durable improvements in both physiological and psychological stress markers than HRV biofeedback alone — extends the existing literature in several important directions. The magnitude of Group B's HRV improvements (SDNN: +53%; RMSSD: +67%; Coherence: +32%) substantially exceeds effect sizes reported in single-modality HRV biofeedback studies [9, 27] supporting the hypothesis that the two approaches produce synergistic rather than merely additive effects.

This synergy is theoretically coherent within the neurovisceral integration framework [8]. HRV biofeedback trains bottom-up autonomic regulation through cardiac rhythm entrainment, while mindfulness cultivates top-down prefrontal modulation of emotional reactivity. Together, these mechanisms address the full regulatory circuit — from brainstem to cortex — that underlies adaptive stress responding. The HeartMath SREs incorporated into both groups' protocols — including the neutral tool, heart lock-in, attitude breathing, and quick coherence technique — provide a structured bridge between physiological and psychological regulation [10, 11] and the addition of formal mindfulness training in Group B appears to amplify and consolidate these regulatory gains.

5.2. Contextualizing Results Within Prior Hong Kong HRV Research

The present findings are meaningfully contextualized by the prior body of HRV research conducted in Hong Kong by Low and colleagues. Low and McCraty [2] established that Hong Kong employees in large organizations experience near-high stress levels as a baseline condition, with emotional depletion, relational tension, and intention to quit as prevalent features of the organizational landscape. The present study's baseline PSS scores (25.0–26.0) are consistent with this characterization, confirming that the sample represents a genuinely stressed professional population rather than a subclinical convenience sample.

The individual-level case studies by Low and Wong [10] and Low and Chan [11] demonstrated that HRV biofeedback is both feasible and clinically effective in Hong Kong professional populations, with participants achieving substantial coherence improvements over four sessions and reporting meaningful shifts in their relationship to workplace stress. The present study scales these findings to a group intervention format, demonstrating that the coherence and stress reduction gains documented in individual clinical work can be replicated and enhanced at the population level through structured group programming — particularly when mindfulness practices are integrated.

5.3. Cultural Factors as Intervention Moderators

The qualitative findings illuminate a culturally specific dynamic that has significant implications for intervention design in Asian professional populations. Low and McCraty [2]provided the foundational empirical documentation of this dynamic in Hong Kong, identifying emotional suppression, face-consciousness, and polyvagal immobilization as key mechanisms through which cultural norms shape stress physiology and coping behavior. The present study's qualitative themes directly replicate and extend these observations, confirming that emotional suppression remains a central feature of the stress experience among Hong Kong professionals and that interventions must actively address this cultural barrier to be maximally effective.

The finding that HRV biofeedback's physiological framing served as a culturally acceptable entry point — reframing stress management as performance optimization — is consistent with Low and Wong [10] observation that the visual, objective nature of emWave Pro Plus feedback was a primary driver of participant motivation and engagement. Equally significant is the finding that mindfulness practices, when culturally adapted, provided participants with explicit permission to acknowledge emotional experience — a permission that the cultural environment routinely withholds.

5.4. Implications for Organizational Practice

The present findings have direct implications for organizational wellness programming in Hong Kong and comparable high-performance Asian professional environments. Low and McCraty [2] recommended that organizations implement resilience strategies including corporate wellness programs and mindfulness-informed management practices as integral components of strategic change management. The present study provides empirical support for this recommendation, demonstrating that an 8-week combined HRV biofeedback and mindfulness intervention produces clinically meaningful and durable reductions in perceived stress, with Group B achieving a 42% PSS reduction maintained at 6-month follow-up.

Organizations implementing such programs should: (a) frame interventions in performance and productivity terms to reduce stigma and maximize uptake; (b) ensure facilitators are trained in both HRV biofeedback technology and culturally adapted mindfulness delivery; (c) incorporate the HeartMath SREs as a structured bridge between physiological and psychological regulation; and (d) build in follow-up maintenance sessions to support the modest regression observed in the biofeedback-only group.

5.5. Limitations

Several limitations warrant acknowledgement. First, the absence of a waitlist control group limits causal inference. Second, self-selection bias may have inflated effect sizes. Third, the single-city design limits generalizability to other Asian cultural contexts. Fourth, HRV measurement via the emWave Pro Plus, while validated as a reliable

photoplethysmography-based tool [1, 28] does not provide the full spectral resolution of clinical-grade electrocardiography. Fifth, the qualitative subsample (n = 20) may not fully represent the range of cultural experiences within the broader sample. Finally, the exclusion of medication users — while methodologically necessary to avoid HRV confounds [1] — limits generalizability to the broader working population.

6. Conclusions and Future Directions

This study demonstrates that the integration of HRV biofeedback with structured mindfulness practices constitutes a clinically effective and culturally congruent intervention for workplace stress among Asian professionals. Building on the foundational HRV research conducted in Hong Kong by Low and McCraty [1] and Low and McCraty [2] and the clinical case evidence provided by Low and Wong [10] and Low and Chan [11] the present study scales individual-level biofeedback findings to a group intervention format, demonstrating superior and more durable outcomes when mindfulness is formally integrated alongside HRV biofeedback and HeartMath SREs.

The combined intervention produced superior improvements in autonomic regulation, perceived stress, and cardiovascular health markers compared to biofeedback alone, with benefits maintained at 6-month follow-up. Qualitative findings illuminate the cultural mechanisms — particularly normative emotional suppression — that both perpetuate stress in this population and can be addressed through culturally adapted mindfulness practice.

Future research should prioritize:

1. Randomized controlled trials with active waitlist control conditions to strengthen causal inference
2. Cross-cultural comparative studies examining whether findings generalize to other Asian professional contexts (e.g., Singapore, Japan, South Korea), building on the cross-cultural recommendations of Low and McCraty [2].
3. Mechanistic investigations using clinical-grade HRV measurement and neuroimaging to elucidate neurobiological pathways
4. Dose-response studies examining optimal intervention duration, session frequency, and maintenance protocols
5. Integration with complementary modalities, including aromatherapeutic breathing [11] physical activity, cognitive-behavioral therapy, and EEG neurofeedback [29] to further characterize the landscape of multi-modal stress intervention in Asian professional populations.

References

[1] A. Low and R. McCraty, "Heart rate variability: New perspectives on assessment of stress and health risk at the workplace," *Heart and Mind*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 16-27, 2018a, https://doi.org/10.4103/hm.hm_11_18.

[2] A. Low and R. McCraty, "Emerging dynamics of workplace stress of employees in a large organization in Hong Kong," *Public Administration and Policy*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 134-151, 2018b.

[3] World Health Organization, "Mental health in the workplace," Retrieved: https://www.who.int/mental_health/in_the_workplace/en/. 2019.

[4] R. A. Karasek Jr, "Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 285-308, 1979, https://doi.org/10.2307/2392498.

[5] Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology, "Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use," *Circulation*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 1043-1065, 1996.

[6] J. F. Thayer, F. Åhs, M. Fredrikson, J. J. Sollers III, and T. D. Wager, "A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: implications for heart rate variability as a marker of stress and health," *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 747-756, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.11.009.

[7] S. Hillebrand *et al.*, "Heart rate variability and first cardiovascular event in populations without known cardiovascular disease: Meta-analysis and dose–response meta-regression," *Europace*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 742-749, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eus341.

[8] J. F. Thayer and R. D. Lane, "Claude Bernard and the heart–brain connection: Further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration," *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 81-88, 2009, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004.

[9] P. M. Lehrer and R. Gevirtz, "Heart rate variability biofeedback: How and why does it work?," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 5, p. 756, 2014, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00756.

[10] A. Low and I. Wong, "Case study: Adopting heart rate variability biofeedback to decrease workplace stress," *Heart and Mind*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 51-56, 2018, https://doi.org/10.4103/hm.hm_16_18.

[11] A. Low and S. Chan, "Case study: Monitoring the effectiveness of aromatherapeutic breathing with heart rate variability biofeedback," *Heart and Mind*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 90-94, 2021, https://doi.org/10.4103/hm.hm_22_21.

[12] J. Kabat-Zinn, *Wherever you go, there you are: Mindfulness meditation in everyday life*. New York: Hyperion, 1994.

[13] B. Khoury, M. Sharma, S. E. Rush, and C. Fournier, "Mindfulness-based stress reduction for healthy individuals: A meta-analysis," *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 519-528, 2015, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2015.03.009.

[14] B. K. Hölzel *et al.*, "Mindfulness practice leads to increases in regional brain gray matter density," *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*, vol. 191, no. 1, pp. 36-43, 2011, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2010.08.006.

[15] P. A. Sutarto, M. N. Abdul Wahab, and N. Mat Zin, "Resonant breathing biofeedback training for stress reduction among manufacturing operators," *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 549-561, 2012, https://doi.org/10.1080/10803548.2012.11076959.

[16] R. McCraty, M. Atkinson, D. Tomasino, and R. T. Bradley, "The coherent heart heart-brain interactions, psychophysiological coherence, and the emergence of system-wide order," *Integral Review: A Transdisciplinary & Transcultural Journal for New Thought, Research, & Praxis*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 10–115, 2009.

[17] J. Kabat-Zinn, *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain and illness*. New York: Delacorte Press, 1990.
[18] D. J. Good *et al.*, "Contemplating mindfulness at work: An integrative review," *Journal of Management,* vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 114-142, 2016, https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315617003.
[19] J. R. Krygier, J. A. Heathers, S. Shahrestani, M. Abbott, J. J. Gross, and A. H. Kemp, "Mindfulness meditation, well-being, and heart rate variability: A preliminary investigation into the impact of intensive Vipassana meditation," *International Journal of Psychophysiology,* vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 305-313, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.06.017.
[20] J. L. Tsai, B. Knutson, and H. H. Fung, "Cultural variation in affect valuation," *Journal of personality and social psychology,* vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 288–307, 2006, https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.90.2.288.
[21] S. W. Porges, *Vagal pathways: Portals to compassion. In E. M. Seppala (Ed.), The Oxford handbook of compassion science*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2017.
[22] Institute of HeartMath, "emWave Pro tour user manual," Retrieved: https://www.heartmath.com/support/knowledgebase/?article=kA180000000CsvqCAC. 2016.
[23] R. McCraty and A. Watkins, *Autonomic assessment report: A comprehensive heart rate variability analysis — interpretation guide and instructions*. Boulder Creek, CA: Institute of HeartMath, 1996.
[24] S. Cohen, T. Kamarck, and R. Mermelstein, "A global measure of perceived stress," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior,* vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 385-396, 1983, https://doi.org/10.2307/2136404.
[25] B. Barrios-Choplin and M. Atkinson, *Personal and organizational quality assessment* Boulder Creek, CA: Institute of HeartMath, 2000.
[26] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Using thematic analysis in psychology," *Qualitative Research in Psychology,* vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77-101, 2006, https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
[27] R. McCraty and F. Shaffer, "Heart rate variability: New perspectives on physiological mechanisms, assessment of self-regulatory capacity, and health risk," *Global Advances in Health and Medicine,* vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 46-61, 2015, https://doi.org/10.7453/gahmj.2014.073.
[28] C. V. Russoniello, Y. N. Zhirnov, V. I. Pougatchev, and E. N. Gribkov, "Heart rate variability and biological age: implications for health and gaming," *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking,* vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 302-308, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2012.0505.
[29] A. Low, W. L. Lam, and K. T. Chau, "Evaluating the efficacy of EEG neurofeedback therapy in managing ADHD and anxiety: A retrospective analysis of 113 cases," *Archives of Clinical Trials,* vol. 5, no. 2, p. Article 8, 2025.