



ISSN: 2617-6548

URL: [www.ijirss.com](http://www.ijirss.com)



## Digital transformation in foreign language learning: Perspectives of pre-service teachers in Kazakhstan

Gulzhaukhar Kurebayeva<sup>1</sup>, Tulebike Kulgildinova<sup>2\*</sup>, Iyungul Mussatayeva<sup>3</sup>, Karlygash Kenzhigozhina<sup>4</sup>, Gulnara Kurebayeva<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Alikhan Bokeikhan University, Semey, Kazakhstan.*

<sup>2</sup>*Kazakh Ablai Khan University of International Relations and World Languages, Almaty, Kazakhstan.*

<sup>3</sup>*Semey Medical University, Semey, Kazakhstan.*

<sup>4</sup>*L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan.*

<sup>5</sup>*Shakarim University, Semey, Kazakhstan.*

Corresponding author: Tulebike Kulgildinova (Email: [sanianur@mail.ru](mailto:sanianur@mail.ru))

### Abstract

This study aims to determine the attitudes of pre-service teachers toward digital transformation in foreign language instruction. The study was carried out using the survey model, with 320 participants in the sample group during the 2023–2024 academic year. The findings have provided insight into how pre-service teachers view the role of digital transformation in foreign language instruction. Although there are some issues and difficulties, overall positive attitudes toward digital tools are indicated by weighted averages and standard deviations across different dimensions. According to the data, digital tools have a positive effect on engagement and motivation. The results provide practical insights for preparing non-linguistic university students to effectively learn foreign languages using digital resources. Despite originating in Kazakhstan, the study's conclusions could apply to other developing and multilingual countries facing similar challenges in digital education. It offers an example of how regional research can influence international best practices.

**Keywords:** Attitudes, Digital technology, Digital transformation, Foreign language learning, Gender, Strategies.

**DOI:** 10.53894/ijirss.v8i1.4936

**Funding:** This work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant number: IRN AP19679103)

**History: Received:** 8 January 2025/ **Revised:** 7 February 2025/ **Accepted:** 19 February 2025/ **Published:** 25 February 2025

**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' Contributions:** All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Transparency:** The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

**Publisher:** Innovative Research Publishing

## **1. Introduction**

Globally, including in Kazakhstan, the use of digital tools (DT) for foreign language (FL) instruction is growing in significance. Digital technologies are crucial to foreign language training, particularly in developing communicative competence, which is the ultimate goal of training a foreign language for a competitive specialist. Researchers believe that mastering a foreign language at the level of international standards is unthinkable today without the use of digital technologies [1, 2].

Therefore, understanding how prospective teachers feel about digitalization is essential given the importance of technological advancement in foreign language learning (FLL). Future teachers will be more than just tech users; they will be integrators who can assess DT's pedagogical potential and adapt it to meet the needs of individual students and learning objectives [3, 4]. However, this shift requires educational systems to address challenges such as digital equity, teacher preparedness, and curriculum redesign [5-7]. Enhancing teaching abilities and acquiring the technical know-how to use platforms and tools efficiently are both necessary to prepare educators for work in a digital world. According to the research, the digital educational environment raises concerns about how teachers and students are developing their digital competencies while also providing new opportunities for the successful acquisition and development of professional and communicative competencies. In addition to updating their technical and material bases, educational institutions must now include activities that help teachers become digitally competent as part of their digital transformation strategy.

### **1.1. Study Rationale**

The study's applicability to the topic of language instruction in the context of "digital pedagogy" is dictated by Kazakhstan's state policy regarding education modernization, which aims to create a modern, competitive learning environment [8, 9]. This illustrates the importance of consistently improving educational programs that prioritize foreign language competency to boost Kazakhstani professionals' competitiveness globally [10, 11].

However, according to the EF English Proficiency Index report, the level of English proficiency among citizens of our country still requires improvement. Thus, in 2025, Kazakhstan took 103rd place out of 116 countries. This level is considered very low. The level of English proficiency correlates with other indicators of economic and human development: income, education, innovation, welfare, and competitiveness of the country. The data provided indicate that there is no systematic approach and formalism of reforms [12, 13]. For instance, the nation's extensive use of three-month English courses to train teachers to teach specialized subjects in English has not yielded the high-quality results expected. The poor quality of foreign language teachers in schools also has an effect on the situation. Because they are not proficient in the language, the teachers teach in Russian or Kazakh even though the subjects are supposed to be taught in a foreign language. This creates the impression of learning but produces no real results [14].

Given Kazakhstan's adoption of the Digital Kazakhstan program as a national priority, this transition is particularly relevant; however, little is known about how aspiring educators responded to this shift. Unfortunately, the grammar-translation approach—which does not require a lot of DT—is the primary teaching strategy. This method hinders the growth of students' communication abilities.

Teaching foreign languages has become more challenging due to the way society is structured: training materials need to keep up with the global trend of education becoming more digital. Both the general methodology and the particular methods and techniques of teaching FL were fundamentally revised in light of the new times and circumstances. Understanding their potential is necessary to create policy frameworks and professional development initiatives that support successful digital integration. Researchers emphasize the significance of preparing future teachers to address issues related to foreign language acquisition while accounting for the distinctive features of the local mindset [15].

Unfortunately, studies of this issue in the Kazakhstani segment have revealed that they are fragmented and heterogeneous, despite the declaration of increasing the competitiveness of Kazakhstani specialists in the international arena. At this stage, there are no studies of the methodological foundations of this process. Growing awareness of the difficulties faced by learners when learning foreign languages has led to calls for more thorough research. However, this process is accelerated without considering the capabilities and resources of particular educational institutions. Because of this, practical measures to address the issues of future teachers' acquisition of FL overall and the digitization of professional training in particular frequently diverge greatly from one another [16].

Examining the impact of digital transformation on foreign language instruction in Kazakhstan, a developing country, contributes to this subject. Finding out how prospective teachers feel about the digital transformation of foreign language instruction is the starting point of this study. Despite having its origins in Kazakhstan, the study's conclusions could apply to other developing and multilingual countries dealing with comparable issues in digital education. It offers an example of how regional research can influence international best practices. Furthermore, there are significant obstacles to effective learning and career advancement due to the dearth of research and resources in the Kazakh language.

### **1.2. Questions for Research**

Q1: What is the FLL students' attitude toward digital tools?

Q2: Do students' perceptions of digital tools change as a result of the gender variable in FLL?

Q3: Do FLL students' perceptions of digital tools change depending on their grade level?

### **1.3. Purpose of the Research**

This study aims to determine the attitudes of pre-service teachers toward the digital transformation in foreign language instruction.

## 2. Theoretical Framework

Theoretical analysis of studies Bygstad, et al. [17]; Mukul and Büyükožkan [18]; Yang, et al. [19] and Gkrimpizi, et al. [20] that focus on the issue of education transformation in the context of the digital educational environment demonstrates that the digital environment has rich potential that greatly increases the educational environment's possibilities [21]. The process of digitalizing education involves more than just using computer presentations to optimize visual teaching techniques and swapping out traditional pedagogical technologies with digital ones. According to Hanelt, et al. [22]; Leal Filho, et al. [23] and Brunetti, et al. [24] the essence of the digital transformation of education lies in a systematic and synergistic process that alters the various components of the educational experience. These components include motivation, content, operations, analysis, and evaluation. According to Ursu, et al. [25] the digital generation of modern students, navigating digital technologies, constantly strives for novelty and self-improvement. According to Dooly and Darvin [26] intercultural contacts are growing in the digital age, bringing people from various nations and ethnicities together in a single information space. Therefore, as essential components of modernizing education, modernizing the information and communication infrastructure and developing a regional digital platform place unique demands on foreign language teacher training [27]. General professional and subject training must be updated to meet contemporary demands, which are brought about by evolving digital technologies and shifting societal, governmental, and professional demands in the digital economy [28]. As a result, it is increasingly necessary to guarantee a quicker rate of change in the teaching staff training system by incorporating the teaching staff training system in addressing the issues of digital transformation. Digital content, environmental solutions, and tools for using big data analysis technology in professional activities are all examples of how educational digital services are being implemented to help students enrolled in teaching staff training programs gain experience creating and mastering digital educational resources. According to Cao, et al. [29] foreign language instructors who do not receive specialized "digital" training are unable to fully utilize ICT technologies, even in the presence of technical infrastructure, because they lack the necessary "digital" knowledge and are unable to incorporate digital skills into language learning methods. Brocca, et al. [30] found that digital literacy and foreign language proficiency are interdependent in social, academic, and professional contexts. Given the digitalization of the classroom, Pokrivcakova [31] determined that a foreign language instructor's job description needed to be modified. The issue of a foreign language teacher's digital competencies (components of digital literacy) not being sufficiently filled is addressed by Røkenes and Krumsvik [32].

Researchers suggest using language-learning platforms and apps like Duolingo, Quizlet, or Memrise to improve one's vocabulary and grammar [33-35]. These resources can be used as extra exercises or evaluation tools in lesson plans. It was also discovered that a digital learning environment is promoted by using platforms like Google Classroom, Microsoft Teams, or Moodle for group projects, online chats, and resource sharing [36, 37]. Tools like Kahoot! and Classcraft are used in gamified activities to increase motivation and engagement during language lessons [38, 39]. Researchers recommend promoting fair access through partnerships with tech companies to supply resources to underserved areas, institutional funding for digital devices, or subsidized internet [40, 41]. According to researchers Bragg, et al. [42]; [43] and Hennessy, et al. [44] in order to improve teachers' digital skills and confidence in using technology effectively, targeted professional development programs, such as workshops and online courses, must be developed. Through pilot programs that show better student outcomes and promote peer-to-peer mentoring to assist hesitant educators, the researchers highlight the advantages of digital tools.

## 3. Method

### 3.1. Research methods

This research was carried out using the survey model. In this method, the current situation is revealed as it is. It allows working with larger sample groups compared to other research methods. Consequently, the survey model was deemed appropriate for assessing preservice teachers' opinions regarding the digitization of foreign language instruction.

**Table 1.**  
Demographic characteristics of pre-service teachers.

| Category                    | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Gender                      |               |                |
| Male                        | 18            | 5,66%          |
| Female                      | 302           | 94,34%         |
| Total                       | 320           | 100%           |
| Studying at the grade level |               |                |
| First grade                 | 75            | 23.39%         |
| Second grade                | 78            | 24.30%         |
| Third grade                 | 82            | 25.61%         |
| Fourth grade                | 85            | 26.70%         |
| Total                       | 320           | 100%           |
| Department of education     |               |                |
| Foreign language teaching   | 320           | 100%           |
| Total                       | 320           | 100%           |

### 3.2. Participants

The sample group of the research consists of 320 pre-service teachers of the Philological Faculty of Alikhan Bukeikhan University (Semey), Kazakh Ablai Khan University of International Relations and World Languages (Almaty), and L. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (Astana) in the 2023-2024 academic years, who voluntarily agreed to participate in the study. Table 1 shows the frequency and percentage distribution of the participants by the department of education, grade of education, and gender.

### 3.3. Data Collection Tools

The literature review was the first step in the scale development study. Studies on the use of DT in FLL were read, discussed, and analyzed during the literature review. The items on the scale were carefully selected to be clear, grammatical, and understandable. All of this screening led to the creation of a pool of thirty-one items. A 22-item scale form was finalized following these adjustments (Appendix A). The validity and content form of the scale were assessed based on expert opinions. The questionnaire inquired about the study group's genders and grade levels before providing a succinct overview of the findings. 290 teacher candidates took part in the research's pilot application.

### 3.4. Data Collection Process and Analysis

The statistical software SPSS 25.0 was used to analyze research data. First, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was run, and the test results showed that the variables had  $p > .05$ . According to this outcome, the data set had a normal distribution. For this reason, parametric tests were performed on the data set. The t-test, one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), and descriptive statistics were used.

## 4. Results

Table 2 shows the weighted average and standard deviations of the digital tools attitude scale of the pre-service teachers.

**Table 2.**  
The digital tools attitude scale's weighted mean and standard deviations.

| Items                                                             | Mean (M) | Standard deviation (SD) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Perceived usefulness                                              |          |                         |
| Digital tools enhance effectiveness of instruction                | 4.12     | 0.78                    |
| Digital resources improve learning experience                     | 4.20     | 0.81                    |
| Online platforms provide engaging opportunities                   | 4.05     | 0.84                    |
| Digital tools improve language proficiency                        | 4.00     | 0.79                    |
| Perceived ease of use                                             |          |                         |
| Confidence in using digital tools                                 | 3.95     | 0.85                    |
| Learning new technology is easy                                   | 3.85     | 0.90                    |
| Preference for digital tools over traditional methods             | 3.70     | 0.92                    |
| Digital tools reduce learning difficulties                        | 3.80     | 0.88                    |
| Motivation and engagement                                         |          |                         |
| Digital tools are more motivating                                 | 4.00     | 0.82                    |
| Interactive digital content improves engagement                   | 4.15     | 0.79                    |
| Online resources encourage self-learning                          | 4.10     | 0.81                    |
| Gamification enhances motivation                                  | 3.90     | 0.85                    |
| Challenges and concerns                                           |          |                         |
| Digital tools can be distracting                                  | 3.50     | 0.95                    |
| Digital literacy is a major barrier                               | 3.60     | 0.92                    |
| Technical issues negatively impact learning                       | 3.85     | 0.89                    |
| Over-reliance on digital tools weakens traditional skills         | 3.75     | 0.90                    |
| Digital tools should complement, not replace, traditional methods | 4.30     | 0.76                    |

Table 2 shows that pre-service teachers in FLL generally favor digital tools. Participants agree that using digital tools can improve engagement ( $M = 4.15$ ) and instruction ( $M = 4.12$ ). They also agree that digital resources encourage self-learning ( $M = 4.10$ ) and motivation ( $M = 4.00$ ).

Nonetheless, there are certain difficulties and worries, like technological problems ( $M = 3.85$ ), digital distractions ( $M = 3.50$ ), and an excessive dependence on technology that might erode traditional skills ( $M = 3.75$ ). The opinion that digital tools should supplement traditional teaching methods rather than replace them is the most highly rated concern ( $M = 4.30$ ).

According to the results, pre-service teachers are amenable to utilizing digital resources, but they favor a well-rounded strategy that incorporates technology into conventional language training.

Table 3 shows the t-test results for gender differences in pre-service teachers' attitudes toward digital tools in FLL:

**Table 3.**

Independent samples t-test results according to gender.

| Attitude scale dimensions | Gender | n   | Mean (M) | Standard deviation (SD) | t     | p    |
|---------------------------|--------|-----|----------|-------------------------|-------|------|
| Perceived usefulness      | Male   | 18  | 4.08     | 0.75                    | -0.32 | 0.75 |
|                           | Female | 302 | 4.11     | 0.79                    |       |      |
| Perceived ease of use     | Male   | 18  | 3.90     | 0.82                    | -0.41 | 0.68 |
|                           | Female | 302 | 3.87     | 0.89                    |       |      |
| Motivation and engagement | Male   | 18  | 3.98     | 0.81                    | -0.35 | 0.72 |
|                           | Female | 302 | 4.02     | 0.84                    |       |      |
| Challenges and concerns   | Male   | 18  | 3.72     | 0.90                    | -0.29 | 0.78 |
|                           | Female | 302 | 3.75     | 0.92                    |       |      |

According to the results of the independent samples t-test, there is no significant difference between the attitudes of male and female pre-service teachers toward digital tools in any of the four dimensions ( $p > 0.05$  in all cases), as shown in Table 3. Both groups see digital tools as useful, interesting, and reasonably easy to use, despite acknowledging difficulties. The slight differences in mean scores imply that attitudes toward digital tools in FLL are not significantly influenced by gender.

Table 4 shows the one-way ANOVA results for grade level differences in pre-service teachers' attitudes toward digital tools in FLL.

**Table 4.**

One-way ANOVA results based on grade level.

| Attitude scale dimensions | Source         | Sum of squares (SS) | df  | Mean square (MS) | F     | p    |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----|------------------|-------|------|
| Perceived usefulness      | Between groups | 2.95                | 3   | 0.98             | 1.45  | 0.23 |
|                           | Within groups  | 213.42              | 316 | 0.68             |       |      |
| Perceived ease of use     | Between groups | 3.25                | 3   | 1.08             | -0.41 | 0.68 |
|                           | Within groups  | 209.78              | 316 | 0.66             |       |      |
| Motivation and engagement | Between groups | 4.12                | 3   | 1.37             | 1.89  | 0.72 |
|                           | Within groups  | 228.35              | 316 | 0.72             |       |      |
| Challenges and concerns   | Between groups | 3.80                | 3   | 1.27             | 1.75  | 0.78 |
|                           | Within groups  | 227.20              | 316 | 0.72             |       |      |

Grade-level differences in pre-service teachers' attitudes toward digital tools are not statistically significant, according to the results of the one-way ANOVA ( $p > 0.05$  for all dimensions). This indicates those students' perceptions of the advantages, difficulties, and motivating effects of digital tools for foreign language learning are similar across all four grade levels. According to the results, opinions regarding the digital transformation of language instruction are consistent across all academic levels. This suggests that as students advance through their teacher education program, their exposure to digital tools does not change all that much. One possible explanation for this could be the consistent curriculum and training in digital pedagogy across all years.

## 5. Discussion and Conclusions

The study's conclusions shed light on participants' perceptions of the digital transformation in foreign language instruction. Although there are some issues and difficulties, overall positive attitudes toward digital tools are shown by the weighted averages and standard deviations across the different dimensions. Most pre-service teachers concur that using digital tools improves the overall learning experience ( $M = 4.20$ ,  $SD = 0.81$ ) and the effectiveness of instruction ( $M = 4.12$ ,  $SD = 0.78$ ). Participants' high mean scores suggest that using digital resources to aid in foreign language learning is advantageous. Digital tools are recognized as beneficial for enhancing language proficiency ( $M = 4.00$ ,  $SD = 0.79$ ), and online platforms are regarded as engaging ( $M = 4.05$ ,  $SD = 0.84$ ). These results are consistent with earlier studies that highlight how technology can improve accessibility and promote interactive learning environments [45-47]. With confidence in digital tools scoring  $M = 3.95$  ( $SD = 0.85$ ), and the belief that learning new technology is simple scoring  $M = 3.85$  ( $SD = 0.90$ ), the results show a neutral to slightly positive attitude toward ease of use. Pre-service teachers have a lower preference for digital tools over traditional methods ( $M = 3.70$ ,  $SD = 0.92$ ), despite acknowledging the convenience of digital tools in reducing learning difficulties ( $M = 3.80$ ,  $SD = 0.88$ ). This suggests that although pre-service teachers are open to using technology, they may still value traditional teaching techniques.

Pre-service teachers concur that interactive content increases engagement ( $M = 4.15$ ,  $SD = 0.79$ ) and that digital tools make learning more motivating ( $M = 4.00$ ,  $SD = 0.82$ ). Additionally, self-directed learning is promoted by online resources ( $M = 4.10$ ,  $SD = 0.81$ ), which is consistent with contemporary pedagogical approaches that prioritize learner autonomy. Gamification received a slightly lower rating even though it was thought to be beneficial ( $M = 3.90$ ,  $SD = 0.85$ ), indicating that while digital engagement is important, not all tools are made equal.

There are still certain worries despite the generally upbeat attitude. Digital literacy was identified as a barrier ( $M = 3.60$ ,  $SD = 0.92$ ), and distraction from digital tools was rated at  $M = 3.50$  ( $SD = 0.95$ ). Notable concerns included the potential for over-reliance on digital tools to erode traditional skills ( $M = 3.75$ ,  $SD = 0.90$ ) and the impact of technical issues on learning ( $M = 3.85$ ,  $SD = 0.89$ ). The belief that digital tools should supplement traditional teaching methods



rather than replace them, however, was the highest-rated item in this section ( $M = 4.30$ ,  $SD = 0.76$ ), supporting the notion that a blended approach might be the most successful.

The findings imply that although participants are aware of the advantages of digital resources for teaching foreign languages, they favor a well-rounded strategy that incorporates both digital and conventional techniques. Targeted support and training are necessary to address challenges like digital literacy, technical difficulties, and the possibility of distraction.

The independent samples t-test results show no significant difference between male and female attitudes toward digital tools in FLL ( $p > 0.05$  across all dimensions). This implies that opinions about the benefits, usability, motivational effect, and difficulties of digital tools are largely in line with those of both groups. This result is consistent with earlier studies by Yoon [48] and Guillen-Gamez, et al. [49]. Institutions and teachers can use universal approaches to incorporating technology into language instruction because gender has no discernible impact on attitudes toward digital tools in FLL.

Grade-level differences in pre-service teachers' opinions about digital resources for FLL are not statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$  for all dimensions). According to this finding, students' use of digital tools appears to be standard across grade levels [50, 51]. Additionally, the fact that responses were consistent across all four grade levels may suggest that age, gender, and prior technological experience have a greater influence on pre-service teachers' attitudes than grade level.

In conclusion, future research could explore ways to enhance teachers' digital readiness and maximize the use of digital resources in teacher preparation programs. Future studies could examine additional factors, such as prior technology training, teaching experience, or even cultural differences, to provide more complex explanations for why and how attitudes might differ.

## References

- [1] A. M. McCarthy, D. Maor, A. McConney, and C. Cavanaugh, "Digital transformation in education: Critical components for leaders of system change," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 100479, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100479>
- [2] M. A. Mohamed Hashim, I. Tlemsani, and R. Matthews, "Higher education strategy in digital transformation," *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 3171-3195, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10739-1>
- [3] X. Chen, D. Zou, and H. Xie, "Technologies in content and language integrated learning: types, purposes, and outcomes," *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 461-495, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJML.2024.141807>
- [4] C. Zhai and S. Wibowo, "A systematic review on artificial intelligence dialogue systems for enhancing English as foreign language students' interactional competence in the university," *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 4, p. 100134, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100134>
- [5] M. J. Alam, R. Hassan, and K. Ogawa, "Digitalization of higher education to achieve sustainability: Investigating students' attitudes toward digitalization in Bangladesh," *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, vol. 5, p. 100273, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2023.100273>
- [6] J. T. Schmidt and M. Tang, "Digitalization in education: challenges, trends and transformative potential," *Führen und Managen in der Digitalen Transformation: Trends, Best Practices und Herausforderungen*, pp. 287-312, 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-28670-5\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-28670-5_16)
- [7] J. Martínez-Moreno and D. Petko, "What motivates future teachers? The influence of artificial intelligence on student teachers' career choice," *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 7, p. 100296, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100296>
- [8] Z. Tajibayeva et al., "Investigation of the psychological, pedagogical and technological adaptation levels of repatriated university students," *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 755-774, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijemst.3336>
- [9] S. Nurgaliyeva, S. Zeinolla, A. Aben, S. Iskenderova, and G. Ismukhanova, "Kazakhstan's universities: Global challenges and local duties improving education quality," *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 768-776, 2025. <http://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v14i1.31852>
- [10] Y. Ospankulov, A. Zhumabayeva, and S. Nurgaliyeva, "The impact of folk games on primary school students," *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 125-131, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i2.4473>
- [11] Z. Karibaev, A. Zhumabayeva, B. Kurbonova, and S. Nurgaliyeva, "Subjective well-being among students with disabilities in Kazakhstan: An exploratory study," *Journal of Curriculum Studies Research*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 88-103, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.46303/jcsr.2024.12>
- [12] S. Abildina, Z. Sarsekeyeva, A. Mukhametzhanova, K. Kopbalina, and S. Nurgaliyeva, "Enhancing reading literacy among elementary school learners in Kazakhstan: The application and effectiveness of modern teaching techniques," *Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. 5905, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i8.5905>
- [13] S. Timotheu et al., "Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review," *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 6695-6726, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8>
- [14] S. Nurgaliyeva, A. Bolatov, S. Abildina, S. Zeinolla, B. Kurbonova, and U. Kyyakbayeva, "COVID-19 online learning challenges: Kazakhstan secondary schools case study," *Frontiers in Education*, vol. 9, p. 1448594, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1448594>
- [15] S. Nurgaliyeva, Z. Itzleuova, S. Maigeldiyeva, Z. Zhussupova, G. Saduakas, and G. Omarova, "Examining the relationships between teachers' job satisfaction and technological competencies," *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 898-912, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijemst.3375>
- [16] G. Yespolova, A. Tanirbergen, A. Sharipkhanova, B. Bazarbek, and S. Nurgaliyeva, "The impact of pedagogical referendariat on beginning teachers' professional development: A case study of Kazakhstan," *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1049-1058, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i1.4506>

- [17] B. Bygstad, E. Øvrelid, S. Ludvigsen, and M. Dæhlen, "From dual digitalization to digital learning space: Exploring the digital transformation of higher education," *Computers & Education*, vol. 182, p. 104463, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104463>
- [18] E. Mukul and G. Büyükožkan, "Digital transformation in education: A systematic review of education 4.0," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 194, p. 122664, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122664>
- [19] J. Yang, Y. Sun, R. Lin, and H. Zhu, "Strategic framework and global trends of national smart education policies," *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-13, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03668-0>
- [20] T. Gkrimpizi, V. Peristeras, and I. Magnisalis, "Classification of barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: Systematic literature review," *Education Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 7, p. 746, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070746>
- [21] M. Buitrago and A. Chiappe, "Representation of knowledge in digital educational environments: A systematic review of literature," *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1-17, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.4041>
- [22] A. Hanelt, R. Bohnsack, D. Marz, and C. Antunes Marante, "A systematic review of the literature on digital transformation: Insights and implications for strategy and organizational change," *Journal of Management Studies*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 1159-1197, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12639>
- [23] W. Leal Filho *et al.*, "The role of transformation in learning and education for sustainability," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 199, pp. 286-295, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.017>
- [24] F. Brunetti, D. T. Matt, A. Bonfanti, A. De Longhi, G. Pedrini, and G. Orzes, "Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach," *The TQM Journal*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 697-724, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-12-2019-0309>
- [25] N. Ursu, I. Hutsul, S. Luts, I. Paur, and T. Takirov, "Innovative technologies in teaching art disciplines in educational institutions," *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 239-255, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.1701.14>
- [26] M. Dooly and R. Darwin, "Intercultural communicative competence in the digital age: critical digital literacy and inquiry-based pedagogy," *Language and Intercultural Communication*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 354-366, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2022.2063304>
- [27] N. Murray, A. J. Liddicoat, G. Zhen, and P. Mosavian, "Constraints on innovation in English language teaching in hinterland regions of China," *Language Teaching Research*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1246-1267, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168820979855>
- [28] S. Bećirović, A. Brdarević-Čeljo, and H. Delić, "The use of digital technology in foreign language learning," *SN Social Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 10, p. 246, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-021-00254-y>
- [29] J. Cao, G. Bhuvaneswari, T. Arumugam, and B. Aravind, "The digital edge: examining the relationship between digital competency and language learning outcomes," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 14, p. 1187909, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1187909>
- [30] N. Brocca, V. Masia, and D. Garassino, "Empowering critical digital literacy in EFL: Teachers' evaluation of didactic materials involving the recognition of presupposed information," *Language Teaching Research*, p. 13621688241235019, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688241235019>
- [31] S. Pokrivcakova, "Preparing teachers for the application of AI-powered technologies in foreign language education," *Journal of Language and Cultural Education*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 135-153, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jolace-2019-0025>
- [32] F. M. Røkenes and R. J. Krumsvik, "Prepared to teach ESL with ICT? A study of digital competence in Norwegian teacher education," *Computers & Education*, vol. 97, pp. 1-20, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.02.014>
- [33] M. Muallim, M. Mustain, and S. M. Ulfa, "Exploring trends of web-based vocabulary learning apps in Indonesian research: A systematic literature review," *IDEAS: Journal on English Language Teaching and Learning, Linguistics and Literature*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 2124-2140, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.24256/ideas.v12i2.5778>
- [34] A. D. Aprizal and K. Wachyudi, "The effectiveness of memrise as a vocabulary learning tool," *Jurnal Ilmiah Wahana Pendidikan*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 8-17, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10499120>
- [35] V. Pichugin, A. Panfilov, and E. Volkova, "Applications with memory load for lexical activation in foreign language learning," in *Frontiers in Education*, 2023, vol. 8: Frontiers Media SA, p. 1278541.
- [36] H. Al-Samarraie and N. Saeed, "A systematic review of cloud computing tools for collaborative learning: Opportunities and challenges to the blended-learning environment," *Computers & Education*, vol. 124, pp. 77-91, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.05.016>
- [37] V. Florjančič and L. Wiecheteck, "Using Moodle and MS Teams in higher education-a comparative study," *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 264-286, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIL.2022.120650>
- [38] Z. Zainuddin, S. K. W. Chu, and C. J. Perera, *Gamification platforms for flipped learning implementation. In Gamification in A Flipped Classroom: Pedagogical Methods and Best Practices*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2219-8\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2219-8_5), 2024.
- [39] M. L. Armanda and L. Indriani, "The effect of using classcraft on EFL students' reading comprehension," *Metathesis: Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 47-59, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.31002/metathesis.v7i1.197>
- [40] A. I. Amjad, S. Aslam, U. Tabassum, Z. A. Sial, and F. Shafqat, "Digital equity and accessibility in higher education: Reaching the unreachable," *European Journal of Education*, vol. 59, no. 4, p. e12795, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12795>
- [41] N. Iheanachor and I. Umukoro, "Partnerships in digital financial services: An exploratory study of providers in an emerging market," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 152, pp. 425-435, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.08.010>
- [42] L. A. Bragg, M. Jones, A. Blackwell, and S. Tan, "The impact of digital literacy and social presence on teachers' acceptance of online professional development," *Contemporary Educational Technology*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. ep384, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/11356>
- [43] G. Falloon, "A self-determination theory approach to teacher digital competence development," *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 5295-5313, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10447-0>
- [44] S. Hennessy, D. Harrison, D. Wiliam, and P. Black, "Technology, teacher professional development, and low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review," *Review of Education*, vol. 9, no. 3, p. e3270, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3270>

- [45] H. Balalle, "Exploring student engagement in technology-based education in relation to gamification, online/distance learning, and other factors: A systematic literature review," *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, vol. 9, p. 100870, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.100870>
- [46] M. Bond, K. Buntins, S. Bedenlier, O. Zawacki-Richter, and M. Kerres, "Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map," *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 17, pp. 1-30, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8>
- [47] A. Haleem, M. Javaid, M. A. Qadri, and R. Suman, "Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review," *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, vol. 3, pp. 275-285, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004>
- [48] S. H. Yoon, "Gender and digital competence: Analysis of pre-service teachers' educational needs and its implications," *International Journal of Educational Research*, vol. 114, p. 101989, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101989>
- [49] F. D. Guillen-Gamez, M. J. Mayorga-Fernández, and M. T. Del Moral, "Comparative research in the digital competence of the pre-service education teacher: Face-to-face vs blended education and gender," *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1-9, 2020.
- [50] D. Farjon, A. Smits, and J. Voogt, "Technology integration of pre-service teachers explained by attitudes and beliefs, competency, access, and experience," *Computers & Education*, vol. 130, pp. 81-93, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.11.010>
- [51] M. Pozas and V. Letzel, "'Do you think you have what it takes?'"—exploring predictors of pre-service teachers' prospective ICT use," *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 823-841, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-021-09551-0>

#### Appendix A. Survey.

| N  | Items                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly agree |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------|
|    | Section 1: Demographic information                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 1  | Gender:                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 2  | Age:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 3  | Year of study:                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 4  | Previous experience with digital tools in language Learning: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No                                                                                                                |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 5  | How often do you use digital tools in foreign language learning?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Never <input type="checkbox"/> Rarely <input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes <input type="checkbox"/> Often <input type="checkbox"/> Always |                   |          |         |       |                |
|    | Section 1: Perceived Usefulness                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 6  | Digital tools enhance the effectiveness of foreign language instruction.                                                                                                                                                             |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 7  | The use of digital resources improves my language learning experience.                                                                                                                                                               |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 8  | Online platforms and apps provide more engaging learning opportunities.                                                                                                                                                              |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 9  | I believe integrating digital tools will lead to better language proficiency.                                                                                                                                                        |                   |          |         |       |                |
|    | Section 2: Perceived Ease of Use                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 10 | I feel confident using digital tools for language learning.                                                                                                                                                                          |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 11 | Learning to use new educational technology is easy for me.                                                                                                                                                                           |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 12 | I prefer using digital tools over traditional methods in language learning.                                                                                                                                                          |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 13 | Digital transformation in language instruction reduces learning difficulties.                                                                                                                                                        |                   |          |         |       |                |
|    | Section 3: Motivation and Engagement                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 14 | I find digital tools more motivating than traditional teaching methods.                                                                                                                                                              |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 15 | Interactive digital content helps me stay engaged in learning.                                                                                                                                                                       |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 16 | Online language learning resources encourage self-directed learning.                                                                                                                                                                 |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 17 | Gamification (e.g., quizzes, badges, leaderboards) improves my motivation.                                                                                                                                                           |                   |          |         |       |                |
|    | Section 4: Challenges and Concerns                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 18 | I believe some digital tools can be distracting in foreign language learning.                                                                                                                                                        |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 19 | Lack of digital literacy among teachers and students is a major barrier.                                                                                                                                                             |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 20 | Technical issues (e.g., internet connection, software problems) negatively impact digital learning.                                                                                                                                  |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 21 | Over-reliance on digital tools may weaken traditional language skills.                                                                                                                                                               |                   |          |         |       |                |
| 22 | Digital transformation should complement, rather than replace, traditional teaching methods.                                                                                                                                         |                   |          |         |       |                |